SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 09951 5158

5 / S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK
Not to be taken from the Library

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1990sanf_1

3 1223 09951 5158

FILE NO. 62-90-2 ORDINANCE NO. 201-90

(SADDLE HORSE LEASE)

APPROVING A FIVE-YEAR LEASE WITH JAY BARNES, DBA: MATHER SADDLE AND PACK STATION, FOR ESTABLISHING AND OPERATING A PROFESSIONAL SADDLE HORSE CONCESSION AT CAMP MATHER, TUOLUMNE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain lease between the Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and Jay Barnes, dba: Mather Saddle and Pack Station, for establishing and operating a professional saddle horse concession at Camp Mather for five years, a copy of which lease is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

Ernest L. Burns

Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

Shauna Marie Rose

Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Louise H. Renne, City Attorney

Nara E. Rosales

Nara E. Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 29, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker

Finally Passed

June 4, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
62-90-2

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 8 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE AS FURTHER AMENDED
IN COMMITTEE 5/23/90
As amended in Board 05/29/90

FILE NO. 97-90-22 ORDINANCE NO. 202-90

(Animal Care & Control Department)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, CHAPTER 10, ARTICLE XIII, SECTION 10.88 TO ADD EMPLOYEES OF THE ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL DEPARTMENT.

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10, Article XIII, Section 10.88 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 10.88. "CASH DIFFERENCE FUND" AND "OVERAGE FUND."

"Cash Difference Fund" created. There is hereby created a "Cash Difference Fund."

"Overage Fund" created. There is hereby created an "Overage Fund," in which all cash overages shall be deposited.

Drawing warrants; use to eliminate cash deficits only. The Controller is hereby authorized and he or she shall draw a warrant in favor of the Treasurer for such amounts as may be appropriated for this purpose. The Treasurer shall use such funds only to eliminate cash deficits as herein provided.

Reports of cash deficits and overage. Any employee of the departments, or officers enumerated herein, who receives and disburses money placed in his or her custody as directed by law or by official authority, shall render a written report, through his or her respective department head, to the Treasurer at the close of each business day, setting forth the exact sum of any cash deficit or overage in his or her account for that day. Failure to report any cash deficit or overage at the close of the business day in which it occurred is a violation of this Section.

///

Elimination of deficit. When a cash deficit is reported to the Treasurer, as herein provided, he or she shall provide the amount required to eliminate such cash deficit; provided, that the amount thereof shall not exceed the amount available in the Cash Difference Fund.

Deposits of overage. When an overage is reported to the Treasurer the amount thereof shall be immediately deposited in the Cash Overage Fund in the treasury. The balance in the Cash Overage Fund at the end of the fiscal year shall revert to the General Fund.

Reports and statements. On or before the 10th day of each calendar month the Treasurer shall file with the Board of Supervisors and the Controller a statement covering the preceding calendar month, itemizing each cash deficit as to amount, date of occurrence and the name and civil service classification of each person whose account was reimbursed from the Cash Difference Fund, together with any additional information as may be required by the Board of Supervisors or by the Controller. The statement shall likewise itemize each item of overage occurring in the same preceding calendar month.

Application of section. This Section shall apply to the employees of the Treasurer's office.

This Section shall apply to the employees of the Assessor's office.

This Section shall apply to the employees of the Tax Collector's office.

This Section shall apply to the employees of the Redemption Officer.

This Section shall apply to the employees of the office of the Clerk of the Municipal Court.

///

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 8 1995
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

This Sections shall apply to the members of the Police Department specifically assigned to the receiving of funds.

This Sections shall apply to include persons acting as cashier, Department of Public Health, Bureau of Accounts.

This Section shall apply to include employees of the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court.

This Section shall apply to the employees of the Animal Care and Control Department.

Treasurer's disallowance of deficits. The Treasurer, for good reason, may return disallowed any deficit report. Such disallowed report may be referred to the Board of Supervisors for its determination.

Rules and regulations. The Treasurer shall establish rules and regulations for the administration of the purposes of this Section. Before issuing or amending any rules or regulations, the Treasurer shall provide a 30 day public comment period by providing published notice in an official newspaper of general circulation in the City of the intent to issue or amend the rules or regulations.

5/29 90
ejp

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Theodore R. Lakey
Deputy City Attorney

0480a
ejp:05/31/90

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
May 29, 1990	June 4, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Walker	Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-90-22

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-90-23 ORDINANCE NO. 203-90

[Transportation Authority Services]

AMENDING PART I, CHAPTER 16 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 16.9-30 AUTHORIZING OFFICERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO AGREE TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY AND ENTER INTO HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENTS WITH THE AUTHORITY.

Note: All material is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part I, Chapter 16 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 16.9-30 to read as follows:

SEC. 16.9-30. PROVISION OF SERVICES TO THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY. The officers of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized to negotiate and execute agreements with the San Francisco County Transportation Authority to perform the duties of their offices on behalf of the Authority and to enter into hold harmless agreements with the Authority.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Ingels Karikas
Deputy City Attorney

7069P

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
May 29, 1990	June 4, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Nelder Walker	Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-23

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 8 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-90-24 ORDINANCE NO. 304-90

[Grant Applications]

AMENDING PART I, CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 10.170 THEREOF, TO EXCLUDE THE APPLICATION FOR FUNDS FROM THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 10.170.

Note: Additions and substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part I, Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 10.170 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 10.170. LIMITATIONS UPON APPLICATION FOR OR RECEIPT OF GRANT. Except as set forth herein, no application for a federal, state or other grant involving any project or program shall be filed or authorized by any officer, employee, board or commission unless first recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Every officer, employee, board or commission shall transmit any such application to the Mayor for his or her review and recommendation and to the Board of Supervisors for its review and approval. The Board of Supervisors shall not approve such filing unless the application has first been recommended by the Mayor and the Controller has certified that appropriate indirect costs are included in the application. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit the action of officers, boards or commissions or their authorized representatives in the preliminary development and preparation of the application and related documents. The provisions of this section shall not be applicable to applications authorized by Resolution No. 318-71 for federal or state grants for sewage treatment works; provided, however, that the Director of Public Works shall forthwith notify the Mayor of the filing of such application or the receipt of any such grant. The provisions of this section shall not be applicable to applications for funds from the San Francisco County Transportation Authority. Every application shall contain provisions for the reimbursement of all allowable administrative expenses incurred by the City and County in services necessary for the performance of the project or program.

Every department or office shall establish rates for such administrative services (indirect costs), which shall be fixed in accordance with a directive of and approved by the Controller. Said rates shall be the basis for determining administrative expenses to be reimbursed to the City and County of San Francisco from grant proceeds.

Every department or office submitting to the Board of Supervisors a budget for grants received from public funds shall conform to proposed budgets recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Board. In making actual line item expenditures from such an approved budget, the department or office may reallocate or transfer funds within the total approved budget amount; provided, that when any line item is modified or increased by more than 15 percent copies of any modification or increase documents which are transmitted to federal or state agencies shall be transmitted also to the Finance Committee.

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

The budget approved by the Board of Supervisors shall be contained in the authorizing resolution or set forth in the Board of Supervisors' file therefor.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

?o?o?

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
May 29, 1990	$	June 6, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Nelder Walker	$	Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-24

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
AS PRESENTED IN COMMITTEE
5/23/90

FILE NO. 101-89-43

ORDINANCE NO. 205-90

(Government Funding)

RO #9094 SA #58

APPROPRIATING $26,001 FOR RENTAL OF PROPERTY, SUPERIOR COURT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-010	Temporary Court House Construction Fund	$ 26,001	
G.L. 301 (004994)	Commitment On Fund Balance		
10-01-00	Superior Court		
14-1460	Rental of Property		$ 26,001

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. Yockey
CONTROLLER

APPROVED: CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: N/R
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

WPPSPGEN/89p.79/ch1

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
May 29, 1990	$	June 4, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Walker	$	Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-43

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ORDINANCE NO. 227 - 90

FILE NO. 141-89-2

FILE NO. 101-89-131 ORDINANCE NO. 206-90

RO #9275 SA# 167

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $142,000 FOR DATA PROCESSING/WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND RESCINDING $81,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, $31,000 FROM TEMPORARY SALARIES AND $30,000 FROM RETIREMENT BENEFITS, CONTROLLER'S OFFICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
09-01-00	Management		
01-0010 (314005)	Permanent Salaries	$29,000	
02-0200	Temporary Salaries	31,000	
04-0600	Retirement	17,000	
	Total - Management	$77,000	
09-03-02	Financial Systems		
01-0010 (314104)	Permanent Salaries	$7,000	
09-03-01	Financial Services		
01-0010 (314054)	Permanent Salaries	$45,000	
04-0600	Retirement	13,000	
10-1060	Data Processing/Word Processing Equipment Maintenance		$142,000
	Total - Financial Services	$58,000	$142,000
	Grand Total	$142,000	$142,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

Z76-MPFSPGEN

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 29, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker

Finally Passed

June 4, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-89-131

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO 101-89-132 ORDINANCE NO 207-90

RO# 9277 SA #122&145

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $196,104 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - CENTRAL SHOPS (AUTOMOTIVE REPAIRS), FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 904 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000035	Public Safety Reserve	$196,104	
31-03-00	Fire Fighters		
30-3100 (530071)	Services of Other Departments-Central Shops		$196,104

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

ac(1035ADM26)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 29, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Walker

Finally Passed

June 4, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-89-132

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
PUBLIC LIBRARY

As amended in Board 03/20/96

As amended in Board 05/29/90

FILE NO. 101-89-134

ORDINANCE NO. 208-90

RO #9279 SA #172

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING FOR $40,000 FOR MEDICAL SERVICE CONTRACT, PUBLIC HEALTH, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90, AND PLACING $40,000 IN RESERVE.

5/29/90

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	$ 40,000	
83-01-11	Administration		
10-1010 (732537)	Medical Service Contract		$ 40,000

5/29/90 ejp

Section 2. Placing $40,000 in reserve to be released by the Finance Committee after departmental information is received.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
May 29, 1990	$	June 4, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker	$	Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-134

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
PUBLIC LIBRARY

5/27/70 Referred to Committee

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
5/23/90

FILE NO. 101-89-140

ORDINANCE NO. 209-90

ROF 9291 SAF 183

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $582,088 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES AND DATA/WORD PROCESSING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, SOCIAL SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90; PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN; PLACING $60,000 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-291	Welfare Assistance & Administration		
63-6339 (041442)	GAIN Administration - State	$582,088	
49-4900 (840041)	Revenue Transfer out to #01-001 General Fund; Dept. # 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$582,088
01-001	General Fund		
99-00-00	Non-Departmental		
99-9900 (160499)	Revenue Transfer in from #01-291 Welfare Assistance and Administration; #45-00-00 Social Services	582,088	
45-00-00	GAIN Program		
10-1001 (640094)	Professional and Special Services		516,877
10-1050 (640094)	Data/Word Processing Professional-Services		65,211
	TOTAL	$582,088	$582,088

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligations under the Charter, the Board Of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

e 5/23/90

Section 3. Funds in the amount of $60,000 for Data/Word Processing Professional Services are hereby placed in reserve pending release by the Finance Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE - CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Nedra P. Fahey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. Yockey
CONTROLLER

...

279-MPPSPGEN

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 1
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
May 29, 1990	June 4, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Walker	Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-140

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-141 ORDINANCE NO. 210-90

[TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ADVANCE]

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 272-89 BY AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS OF THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1990 TO ADD SECTION 30 THERETO TO AUTHORIZE THE CONTROLLER TO ADVANCE FUNDS TO THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY AND TO RECOVER INTEREST FORGONE BY THE CITY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 272-89 (Administrative provisions of the annual appropriation ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1990) is hereby amended by adding Section 30 thereof, to read as follows:

NOTE: This entire section is new.

Section 30. Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of Part III of the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the City and County General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on general City pooled cash funds during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
May 29, 1990	June 4, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Nelder Walker	Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-89-141

JUN 8 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ORDINANCE NO. 03-11-20
FILE NO. 49-90-13

FILE NO. 46-90-13 ORDINANCE NO. 211-90

(Settlement of Lawsuit)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. WHETSTONE (SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT ACTION NO. 919343) BY PAYMENT TO SAN FRANCISCO OF $594,024.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled City and County of San Francisco v. Whetstone, San Francisco Superior Court No. 919343, by dismissing the action with prejudice subject to the State's paying the City and County $594,024.00 in mental health funds according to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement contained in Board of Supervisors File No. 46-90-13.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors adopts this ordinance pursuant to Charter Section 2.301 and in support thereof makes the following findings. Counsel for the State Department of Mental Health has informed the City Attorney's Office that $390,000 is immediately available in settlement of this lawsuit and that the remainder of the funds may not be available until Fiscal Year 1990-91. However, counsel further informed the City Attorney's Office that because of the budget problems that the State is currently experiencing, there is considerable pressure to expend the $390,000 for purposes other than settlement of this lawsuit, and that the City would need to approve this settlement as quickly as possible in order to preserve the Department of Mental Health's continued access to these funds. If this settlement is not approved and executed while these funds are still available, the City will be forced to litigate the legal issues involved in this action, which would delay the receipt by the City of $594,024 for an indefinite period of time. In that case, the Department of Public health might have to reduce its budget for mental health services by $594,024, which would threaten to interrupt the operations of the Department of Public Health and, in particular, its Mental Health Division. Accordingly, it is necessary to adopt this ordinance as an emergency measure in order to make possible the continued operation of the Department of Public Health.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 22 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed as an Emergency Measure
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
66-90-13

JUN 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
5/30/90

FILE NO. 101-89-128

ORDINANCE NO. 212-90

RO #9266 SA #149

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING ~~$57,575~~ $33,613 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, TRAVEL, TELEPHONE, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, RENTAL OF PROPERTY AND DATA PROCESSING/WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT; FOR THE CREATION OF FOUR (4) POSITIONS, PUBLIC HEALTH FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.; PLACING $17,255 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-292	Tobacco Tax Fund		
Project 007-00	Tobacco Tax - Prop 99/AB75 - Revenue	$33,613	
60-6081 (053884)	Prop 99 (Tobacco Tax) - AB75	~~$ 57,575~~	
83-02-15	Tobacco Tax - Prop 99/AB75		
Project 007-02	Health Education Centers		
01-0010 (734350)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 8,144 ~~$ 23,272~~ 1,222
04-0600	Retirement		~~3,491~~ 623
04-0606	Social Security		~~1,780~~ 315
04-0610	Health Service		~~1,722~~ 16
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		~~47~~ 113
10-1120	Travel		~~225~~ 1,088
10-1230	Telephone		~~2,645~~
11-1300	Materials and Supplies		5,925 700
14-1460	Rental of Property		~~9,000~~
23-2310	Data Processing/Word Processing Equipment		15,467
	Total	$33,613 ~~$ 57,575~~	$ 33,613 ~~$ 57,575~~

//////////
//////////

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for four (4) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-89-47)as follows:

Fund: Tobacco Tax Fund

Department: Public Health

Classification	Rate	Created
1446 L Secretary II	$ 943 B 1141	1
1844 L Senior Management Assistant	1436 B 1740	1
2822 L Health Educator	1558 B 1890	1
2825 L Senior Health Educator	1706 B 2074	1
	Total;	4

Section 3. Funds in the amount of $17,255 are placed in reserve for telephone, rental of property, and data processing/word processing equipment pending release by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION:

BY: _____
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

ac(ADM1035/24-25)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 6 1990

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 4, 1990	§	June 11, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-128

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-136 ORDINANCE NO. 213-90

RO #9281 SA #157

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $2,536,945 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - UNIFORM AND EXTENDED WORK WEEK AND REDUCING $1,000,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RETIREMENT - CITY UNIFORM, FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 904 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000035	Public Safety Reserve	$ 120,646	
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	1,416,299	
31-03-00	Fire Prevention		
01-0010 (530014)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	100,000	
31-03-00	Administration		
04-0602 (530030)	Retirement - Uniform	100,000	
31-03-00	General Firefighting		
04-0602 (530071)	Retirement - Uniform	800,000	
01-0020	Permanent Salaries - Uniform		$ 352,380
03-0130	Extended Work Week		2,184,565
	Total	$2,536,945	$2,536,945

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____ DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____ CONTROLLER

ac(1035ADM27)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

June 4, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto

Finally Passed

June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____ Clerk

File No. 101-89-136

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

_____ Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 20 1990

FILE NO. 101-89-137 ORDINANCE NO. 214-90

RO #9276 SA #66

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $125,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES CONTRACT (WASTEWATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM), CLEANWATER PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
35-001	Cleanwater Operating Fund		
G.L. 495 (027060)	Committment from Fund Balance	$125,000	
90-05-00	Cleanwater Project		
10-1001 (542746)	Professional and Special Services Contract		$125,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

276-MPPSPGEN

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 4, 1990	June 11, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Maher Ward	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-137

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 6 6 1990

ORD LIBRARY
NBS-GRD

FILE NO. 102-89-47 ORDINANCE NO. 215-90

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 44

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 273-89 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1989-90) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF FOUR POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, CENTRAL OFFICE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 273-89 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1989-90) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class & Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 83	Public Health Central Office	
		Division: 02	CPHS	
		Section: 15	Health Centers	
		Program: 4129	Health Centers	
		Fund: 01292	Tobacco Tax Fund	
		Index: 734350	Tobacco Tax Prop 99/AB 75	
		Proj/Phase: 007/02	Health Education Centers	
		Object: 001	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	
1 added	1	1446 L	Secretary II.....SC	$ 943B1141
1 added	1	1844 L	Sr. Management Assistant.....Z,SC	1436B1740
1 added	1	2822 L	Health Educator.....Z,SC	1558B1890
1 added	1	2825 L	Sr. Health Educator.....Z,SC	1706B2074

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh RK
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Liedine R. Sakai
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 44

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
June 4, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto

Finally Passed
June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
102-89-47

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 26 1990

FILE NO. 121-90-2

ORDINANCE NO. 96-90

FILE NO. 121-90-2 ORDINANCE NO. 216-90

[Tour Bus Ban On Bay Street]

AMENDING ARTICLE 16 OF PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE), REGULATING MOTOR VEHICLES FOR HIRE, BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1183.18, BANNING THE OPERATION OF PASSENGER-CARRYING COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLES ON BAY STREET BETWEEN BAKER STREET AND LYON STREET.

NOTE: This entire Section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 16 of Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto Section 1183.18, to read as follows:

SEC. 1183.18 RESTRICTED AREAS. Bay Street, between Baker Street and Lyon Street.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Theodore R. Lakey
Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Scott Sloan by JM
Deputy Director of Operations

RECOMMENDED:

for Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 4, 1990	June 11, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Walker	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 121-90-2

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 6 1990

FILE NO. 65-90-1

ORDINANCE NO. 217-90

[Lease of Medical Research Site]

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING LEASE OF A PORTION OF THE FIRST FLOOR BUILDING 100 AT SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL TO THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA.

WHEREAS, The University of California, through its School of Medicine, and the San Francisco General Hospital have cooperated closely for many years in providing professional staffing for the hospital and in the active conduct of medical research, and

WHEREAS, It is proposed that the cooperative efforts be expanded to the installation of laboratories and offices to conduct continuous active medical research, related incidental teaching, and administration in a portion of the first floor of Building 100, and

WHEREAS, The Regents of the University of California are prepared to bear all the cost of renovating the space to provide the specified research facilities and provide staffing, equipment, and materials for such use at no cost to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore,

Be It Ordained By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the leasing of approximately 3,522 square feet on the first floor of Building 100 at San Francisco General Hospital with the Regents of the University of California for the operation of medical research laboratories and offices is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 2. The Director of Property, the Director of Public Health and the Executive Administrator of the San Francisco General Hospital are authorized and directed to arrange a lease of said facilities with the University with said lease being for a period of ten (10) years with the right to extend for two (2) additional five (5) year periods, at a rental of $19,864.08 per year, payable monthly at the rate of $1,655.34, with the provision that the improvements made to the structure by the University will become the property of the City upon the termination of the lease and said lease being subject to the terms and conditions of the lease captioned "Lease Agreement" on file with the Clerk of the Board.

Section 3. In addition to the rental referenced above, the University shall pay the costs for utilities and services of $968.55 per month during the first six (6) months or until occupancy following construction has taken place, thence, shall go to $1,937.10 per month, with the provision that increases in costs for these utilities and services will escalate these base cost figures as they are determined by San Francisco General Hospital.

Section 4. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease.

APPROVED:

for Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

1682

APPROVED:

Executive Administrator
San Francisco General Hospital

Director of Public Health

San Francisco Health Commission

By _____

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 4, 1990	§	June 11, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward
	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
65-90-1

JUN 15 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-146 ORDINANCE NO. 218-90

RO #9297 SA #158

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $12,958 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, RESCINDING $11,068 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS (GENERAL FUND) AND ALSO RESCINDING $1,890 PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS (AIRPORT OPERATING FUND), FOR THE DELETION OF SIX (6) POSITIONS AND CREATION OF SIX (6) POSITIONS, FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
31-03-00	Fire Prevention		
01-0010 (530014)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$11,068	$ 9,015
04-0600	Retirement		1,352
04-0606	Social Security		683
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		18
	Total - General Fund	$11,068	$11,068
30-001	Airport Operating Fund		
31-03-00	Airport Firefighting		
01-0010 (530113)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$ 1,890	$ 1,539
04-0600	Retirement		230
04-0606	Social Security		118
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		3
	Total - Airport Operating Fund	$ 1,890	$ 1,890
	Grand Total	$12,958	$12,958

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for six (6) positions deleted and six (6) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-89-49) as follows:

Fund: General Fund

Department: Fire

Classification	Rate	Deleted	Created
6280A Fire Safety Inspector I	$1214 B 1470	5	
6281A Fire Safety Inspector II	1457 B 1765		5

Fund: Airport Operating Fund

Department: Fire

Classification	Rate	Deleted	Created
6280A Fire Safety Inspector I	$1214 B 1470	1	
6281A Fire Safety Inspector II	1457 B 1765		1

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: _____
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

ac(915ADM49&50)

BUSINESS SERVICES
DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 11, 1990	June 18, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-146

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-89-49
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

ORDINANCE NO. 219-90
SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 52

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 273-89 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1989/90) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF SIX POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF SIX POSITIONS IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 273-89 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1989-90) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class & Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 31	Fire Department	
		Division: 03	General	
		Section: 00	General	
		Program: 1311	Fire Suppression	
		Fund: 30001	Airport Operating Fund	
		Index: 530113	Airport Firefighting-Airport	
		Proj/Phase: 000/00	Unassigned Title	
		Object: 001	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	
1 deleted	0	6280	A Fire Safety Inspector I	$1214B1470
1 added	1	6281	A Fire Safety Inspector II	$1457B1765
		Dept.: 31	Fire Department	
		Division: 03	General	
		Section: 00	General	
		Program: 1312	Fire Prevention	
		Fund: 01001	General Fund	
		Index: 530014	Prevention-General Fund Exp.	
		Proj/Phase: 000/00	Unassigned Title	
		Object: 001	Permanent-Salaries-Miscellaneous	
5 deleted	0	6280	A Fire Safety Inspector I	$1214B1470
5 added	16	6281	A Fire Safety Inspector II	$1457B1765

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVE AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 52

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 11, 1990	$	June 18, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy	$	Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
Maher Nelder Walker Ward	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher
	$	Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
102-89-49

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

BUSINESS OFFICE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO

FILE NO. 65-90-2

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE 6/6/90
ORDINANCE NO 220-90

Be it Ordained, By the People of the City and County of San

FILE NO. 65-90-2

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE 6/6/90
ORDINANCE NO 220-90

[Lease of Radioactive Waste Storage Site]

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING A LEASE OF A PORTION OF THE BASEMENT IN BUILDING 1 AT SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL TO THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE.

WHEREAS, The University of California, through its School of Medicine, and the San Francisco General Hospital have cooperated closely for many years in providing professional staffing for the hospital and in the active conduct of medical research; and

WHEREAS, It is proposed that these cooperative efforts be expanded to the installation of a collection and processing site for radioactive waste from medical and research activities and as a short-term storage site for this waste in a portion of the basement in Building 1; and

WHEREAS, The Regents of the University of California are prepared to expend a minimum of $200,000 to renovate a portion of the basement in Building 1 to provide these facilities and provide staffing, equipment, and materials for such use at no cost to the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Department of Public Health staff submitted to the Health Commission for its approval a lease of property at San Francisco General Hospital for a collection and processing site for radioactive waste; and

WHEREAS, The lease submitted to the Health Commission did not contain certain provisions regarding the transfer of waste material to the Hospital site; and

WHEREAS, The Health Commission approved both the lease and an amendment to the lease addressing that additional issue; now, therefore

Be it Ordained, By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the leasing of approximately 680 square feet in the basement of Building 1 at San Francisco General Hospital with the Regents of the University of California for the operation of a collection and processing site for radioactive waste is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 2. The Director of Property, the Director of Public Health and the Executive Administrator of the San Francisco General Hospital are authorized and directed to arrange a lease of said facilities with the University with said lease being for a period of ten (10) years for no rental or utility charges provided that if University assesses any charges or fees against the City with respect to the operation of the facility, the City may deduct from such charges an amount equal to the Hospital's prevailing rental rate for the leased space and with the provision that the improvements made to the structure by the University will become the property of the City upon the termination of the lease and said lease being subject to the terms and conditions of the "Lease Agreement" and the "Amendment Number One to Environmental Health and Safety Lease Agreement" on file with the Clerk of the Board.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease.
(See File 65-90-2 for Departmental approvals.)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

BUSINESS SERVICE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
June 11, 1990	§	June 18, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
65-90-2

FILE NO. 97-90-12

ORDINANCE NO. 221-90

(Handicapped Access Appeals Board)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY REPEALING ARTICLE X OF CHAPTER 5, SECTIONS 5.92, 5.93 and 5.94, WHICH ESTABLISHES A BOARD TO HEAR APPEALS INVOLVING ENFORCEMENT OF STATE HANDICAPPED ACCESS REGULATIONS; AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 215 RE-ENACTING THE PROVISIONS OF SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE ARTICLE X OF CHAPTER 5 WITH MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZING THE BOARD TO HEAR MATTERS INVOLVING ENFORCEMENT OF LOCAL HANDICAPPED ACCESS REGULATIONS, PROVIDING FOR COMPENSATION TO BOARD MEMBERS, AND SETTING FORTH APPEAL PROCEDURES; AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 332.3 TO ADD A FEE FOR FILING AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD; PROVIDING FOR WAIVER OF FEE.

6/6/90
e

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article X of Chapter 5, Sections 5.92, 5.93 and 5.94 of the San Francisco Administrative Code are hereby repealed.

((SEC. 5.92. APPEALS BOARD; ESTABLISHMENT; COMPOSITION; PURPOSE. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19957.5 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, there is hereby established an Appeals Board composed of five members to hear written appeals brought by any person regarding action taken by the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Inspection, in the enforcement of the requirements of Part 5.5 (commencing with Section 19955), Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code

///

the State of California, including the exceptions contained in Section 19957 thereof.))

((SEC. 5.93. APPOINTMENTS; QUALIFICATIONS; TERMS; VACANCIES; COMPENSATION. Members of the Appeals Board shall be qualified and appointed as follows:

Two members of the Appeals Board shall be physically handicapped persons and shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors, two members shall be experienced in construction and shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors and one member shall be a public member and shall be appointed by the Mayor. The term of each member shall be four years, provided that the five members first appointed shall by lot, classify their terms so that one member shall serve a one year term, one member shall serve a two year term, one member shall serve a three year term and two members shall serve a four year term. Upon a vacancy occurring in the membership of the Appeals Board and upon the expiration in the term of office of any member, a successor shall be appointed by the original appointing body. When a vacancy occurs for any reason other than the expiration of a term of office, the appointee to fill such vacancy shall hold office for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor. The members of the Appeals Board shall serve without compensation. The Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Inspection, shall provide necessary staff service to the Appeals Board.))

((SEC. 5.94. POWERS AND DUTIES; FINALITY.

(a) The Appeals Board shall conduct hearings on written appeals made under Section 5.92 hereof, and may approve or disapprove interpretations of Part 5.5, Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California and enforcement actions taken by the Department of Public Works, Bureau of

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANC
PUBLIC Library

Building Inspection. All such approvals or disapprovals shall be final and conclusive as to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Inspection, in the absence of fraud or prejudicial abuse of discretion.

(b) The Appeals Board shall adopt regulations establishing procedural rules and criteria for the carrying out of its duties as herein set forth.))

Section 2. Part II, Chapter I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 215 thereto to read as follows:

HANDICAPPED ACCESS APPEALS BOARD

Sec. 215. (a) Establishment; Composition; Purpose. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19957.5 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, there is hereby established an Appeals Board to be known as the Handicapped Access Appeals Board composed of five members to hear written appeals brought by any person regarding action taken by the Bureau of Building Inspection in the enforcement of the requirements of Part 5.5 (commencing with Section 19955), Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, including the exceptions contained in Section 19957 thereof, as well as action taken by the Bureau in the enforcement of the handicapped access and adaptability provisions of this Code. The word "Board" whenever used in Section 215(a) through 215(d) means Handicapped Access Appeals Board.

(b) Appointments; Qualifications; Terms; Vacancies; Compensation. Members of the Board shall be qualified and appointed as follows:

Two members of the Board shall be physically handicapped persons and shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors, two members shall be experienced in construction and shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors, and one member shall be a public member and shall be appointed by the Mayor. The terms shall be staggered and the term of each member shall be four years. The present members shall be continued in office until the expiration of his or her term.

Upon a vacancy occurring in the membership of the Board and upon the expiration in the term of office of any member, a successor shall be appointed by the original appointing body. When a vacancy occurs for any reason other than the expiration of a term of office, the appointee to fill such vacancy shall hold office for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor. The members of the Board shall receive compensation of $50 per meeting attended. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection or his or her designated representative shall act as Secretary of the Board, without vote and without compensation. The Bureau of Building Inspection shall provide necessary staff service to the Board.

(c) Powers and Duties; Finality. The Board shall conduct hearings on written appeals made under subsection (a) hereof. In hearing such appeals, the Board may approve or disapprove the Bureau of Building Inspection's interpretations of Part 5.5, Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California and of the handicapped access and adaptability requirements of this Code and the actions taken by the Bureau to enforce said requirements. All such approvals or disapprovals shall be final and conclusive as to the Bureau, in the absence of

///

fraud or prejudicial abuse of discretion. See Section 332.3 - Handicapped Access Appeals Board Filing Fee - for applicable fee.

(d) Form of Appeal - Action on. All appeals to the Board shall be made in writing and shall be filed with the Secretary of the Board. The Board shall act upon each appeal without unreasonable or unnecessary delay.

(e) Procedure. The Board shall establish reasonable rules and regulations for its own procedure not inconsistent with the provisions of this Code and the City Charter. The Board, by a majority vote, shall choose its officers, other than the Secretary.

(f) Meetings. Meetings of the Board shall be at such times as the Board may determine. All meetings of the Board shall be public meetings.

(g) Decisions by Resolution. Every decision and recommendation of the Board shall be by resolution, which shall be retained as part of the Board's official records. A copy shall be mailed to the appellant.

(h) Building Inspection Fund. All fees collected pursuant to Section 332.3 - Handicapped Access Appeals Board Filing Fee shall be deposited into a special Building Inspection Fund established by the City Controller pursuant to Section 10.117-78 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Funds from this special fund shall be used by the Bureau, subject to the approval of the Director and the Chief Administrative Officer, to defray the costs incurred for personnel, supplies, and equipment in hearing and deciding the appeals brought pursuant to Section 215(a) of this Code, and maintaining records and disseminating information concerning said appeals.

///

(i) Waiver of Fee. An exemption from paying the Handicapped Access Appeals Board Filing Fee specified in Section 332.3 may be granted upon the appellant's filing with the Bureau a declaration of indigency under penalty of perjury. The declaration shall be made on a form provided by the Bureau and shall be accompanied by such documentary proof as the Superintendent shall require.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 332.3 thereof to read as follows:

Penalties, Hearings, Code Enforcement Assessments

Sec. 332.3.

ABATEMENT APPEALS BOARD HEARING, FILING FEE: $43.00 per case

BOARD OF EXAMINERS FILING FEES:
Each appeal for variance and interpretation from Code requirements: $75.00

Each appeal for approval of substitute materials or methods of construction: $188.00

DIRECTOR'S ABATEMENT ORDERS: $44.00 per hour or fraction thereof

EMERGENCY ORDER: $35.50 per hour or fraction thereof

EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE APPROVED PERMIT:
2 times the permit fee

HANDICAPPED ACCESS APPEALS BOARD FILING FEE:
$125.00 per case

LIEN RECORDATION CHARGES:
$100.00 or 10 percent of the amount of the unpaid balance, including interest, whichever is greater

WORK WITHOUT PERMIT: INVESTIGATION FEE:
Building Code Violations:

Valuation	Penalty
$1 - $10,000	$2,150
$10,001 - $20,000	$4,300
Greater than $20,000	$5,375

///

Electrical or Plumbing Code Violations:
9 times the applicable fee to a maximum of
$5,375 plus the original permit fee

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Judith A. Boyajian
Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Superintendent
Bureau of Building Inspection

RECOMMENDED:

Deputy Director and
City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

7863j

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
June 11, 1990	$	June 18, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Nelder Walker
Noes: Supervisors Maher	$	Noes: Supervisors Maher
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-90-12

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-138 ORDINANCE NO. 222-90

RO #9292 SA #169

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $885,300 FOR NON-WORK ORDER SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS-CITY ATTORNEY AND SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - WORKERS' COMPENSATION, RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90; PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	$885,300	
44-00-00	Retirement System		
42-4200 (380543)	Non-Work Order Services of other Departments - City Attorney		$100,000
30-3700 (379172)	Services of other Departments - Workers' Compensation		785,300
	Total	$885,300	$885,300

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligations under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

ac(915ADM47)

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 11, 1990	$	June 18, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-138

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-139 ORDINANCE NO. 223-90

RO# 9293 SA# 174

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $150,000 FOR NON-WORK ORDER SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS CITY ATTORNEY, RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
24-061	Pension Trust Fund		
44-01-00	Enployees Retirement Trust Fund		
G.L. 301 (030346)	Unreserved Fund Balance	$150,000	
42-4200 (380501)	Non-work order services of other-departments - City Attorney		$150,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE - CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

279-MPPSPGEN

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

Finally Passed

June 18, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-139

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ORDINANCE NO. 87-80

2-17-87 3-17-87 ON 3TH

FILE NO. 101-89-142 ORDINANCE NO. 224-90

ROF 9294 SA# 170

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $282,542 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (SEPTA-PCC STREET CARS) AND RESCINDING $282,542 FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (MCALLISTER STREET CONTRA - FLOW LANES) MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund		
35-01-00	General Managers Office		
Project 687-01	Contra Flow Lanes		
20-2030 (562009)	Capital Improvement Project	$282,542	
Project 728-00	Septa - PCC Streetcars		
20-2030 (726786)	Capital Improvement Project		$282,542

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE - CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

279-WPPSPGEN-(3)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez

Finally Passed

June 18, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____
Clerk

File No.
101-89-142

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-89-143 ORDINANCE NO. 225-90

RO #9286 SA #129

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $47,966 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S SPECIAL FUND), DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-005	Narcotics Forfeiture and Asset Seizure Fund		
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 001-00	Revenue		
65-6531 (050062)	Narcotics Forfeiture	$ 47,966	
04-01-00	District Attorney		
Project 011-01	District Attorney's Special Fund		
20-2010 (303347)	Program Project Budget		
14-1451	Litigation Expenses		$ 47,966

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

ac(915ADM46)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 11, 1990	§	June 18, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
	§	
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-143

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-89-144

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT

FILE NO. 101-89-144

ORDINANCE NO. 326-90

RO #9287 SA #178

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $106,740 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND VARIOUS PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET, POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-005	Narcotics Forfeiture and Asset Seizure Fund		
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 001-00	Revenue		
65-6531 (050062)	Narcotics Forfeiture	$ 106,740	
Project 010-01	Prosecution		
04-01-00	District Attorney		
01-0010 (303347)	Permanent Salaries		$ 19,088
04-0600	Retirement		3,070
04-0606	Social Security		1,460
04-0610	Health Service		244
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		19
	Total - District Attorney		$ 23,881
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 017-01	Narcotics Division Operating Expense		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		$ 34,550
Project 014-01	Drug Education		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		16,667
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Crime Lab)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		526
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Fingerprint Equipment)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		3,284
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Dial No Recorder)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		1,326
Project 013-01	Boedekker Park Project		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		13,757
Project 007-01	Oceanview Playground		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		9,916
Project 016-01	Boxing Program		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		2,833
	Total - Police Department		$ 82,859
	Grand Total	$ 106,740	$ 106,740

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

wppspgen/259p.10&11/ch1

JUL 06 1990

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 11, 1990	§	June 18, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy	§	Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher
	§	Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
101-89-144

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-89-147 ORDINANCE NO. 227-90

RO# 9299 SA# 176

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $129,958 FOR RETROACTIVE SALARIES AND RESCINDING $129,958 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - UNIFORM, POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
38-02-51	Police - Patrol		
01-0020 (582015)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform	$129,958	
05-0176 (582015)	Retroactive Salaries		$129,958

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

249-SPGEN-13

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez

Finally Passed

June 18, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

JUN 22 1990

File No.
101-89-147

_____
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

6/6/90

FILE NO. 101-89-151

ORDINANCE NO. 328-90

RO #9303 SA #187

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING ~~$6,878,893 FOR~~ $6,848,293 VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, PUBLIC LIBRARY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90; PLACING $512,000 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
05-611	1988 Public Library Facilities System Improvement Bonds		
41-00-00	Public Library		
55-5500 (052795)	Proceeds - Sale of Bonds	$6,848,293 ~~$ 6,878,893~~	
Project 001-01	Miscellaneous Project Services		
20-2030 ((615351)	Capital Improvement Project		456,193 $ ~~486,793~~
Project 100-01	New Main Library		
20-2030 (615351)	Capital Improvement Project		6,392,100
	Total	$6,848,293 ~~$ 6,878,893~~	$6,848,293 ~~$ 6,878,893~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby reserved in the amount of $512,000to be released by the Finance Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 11, 1990	$	June 18, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-151

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-152 ORDINANCE NO. 229-90

RO #9304 SA #132

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $223,071 FOR COMMUNICATION AND EQUIPMENT LEASE/PURCHASE AND RESCINDING $223,071 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90; PLACING $157,590 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
30-00-00	Civil Service Commission		
01-0010 (332601)	Permanent Salaries	$ 223,071	
22-2220	Communications		$ 157,590
22-2310	Equipment Lease/Purchase		65,481
	Total	$ 223,071	$ 223,071

6/6/90 e

Section 2. Funds are hereby reserved in the amount of $157,590 to be released by the Finance Committee.

6/6/90 e

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

wppspgen/259p.16/ch1

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 11, 1990	$	June 18, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker
	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-89-152

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-153

ORDINANCE NO. 230-90

RO #9305 SA #179

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $30,000 FOR FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECT (FARMERES MARKET-REPAIR ROOFS), RESCINDING $11,810 FROM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECT (FARMERS MARKET-REPAIR STALLS) AND RESCINDING $12,444 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, AGRICULTURE/WEIGHTS AND MEASURES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 904 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000098	Capital Improvements Reserve	$ 5,746	
72-00-00	Agriculture/Weights and Measures		
Project 207-01	Farmers Market - Repair Stalls		
20-2020 (422022)	Facilities Maintenance Project	11,810	
01-0010 (422014)	Permanent Salaries	9,700	
04-0600	Retirement	1,600	
04-0606	Social Security	750	
04-0610	Health Service	394	
Project 271-01	Farmers Market - Repair Roofs		
20-2020 (422022)	Facilities Maintenance Project		$ 30,000
	Total	$ 30,000	$ 30,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

wppspgen/259p.15/ch1

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Ward

Finally Passed

June 18, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-153

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-89-154 ORDINANCE NO. 231-90

RO#9306 SA#188

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $1,775,000 FOR PURCHASE POWER FOR RESALE AND ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION SERVICE CHARGE, HETCH HETCHY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90; PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
33-001	Hetch Hetch Operating Fund		
32-00-00	Hetch Hetchy		
Project 663-01	Hughes - Leithold Water Lines		
20-2030 (336248)	Capital Improvement Project	$1,075,000	
Project 753-01	Early Intake Reconstruction		
20-2030 (336248)	Capital Improvement Project	700,000	
10-1801 (336016)	Purchase Power for Resale		$675,000
10-1811 (336016)	Electricity Transmission Service Charge		1,100,000
	Total	$1,775,000	$1,775,000

Section 2. Although the City has incurred to legal obligations under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

258-WPPSPGEN-5

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 11, 1990	§	June 18, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 101-89-154

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-155 ORDINANCE NO. 232-90

RO #9307 SA #185

(Government Funding)

RESCINDING VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT APPROPRIATIONS FROM GENERAL FUND, $36,468, GENERAL FUND PROJECT, $59,640, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, $801,148, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FUND, $1,084,523, SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL, $7,304 AND LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL, $12,805, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
01-01-00	Board of Supervisors		
Project 305-01	Minor Repair/Improvement Projects		
20-2020 (300328)	Facilities Maintenance Project	$ 2,869	
12-02-00	Juvenile Court		
Project 932-01	YGC - Emergency Construction Project		
20-2030 (318170)	Capital Improvement Project	251	
31-03-00	Fire Department		
Project 300-01	Floor Coverings		
20-2020 (333203)	Facilities Maintenance Project	4,137	
Project 958-01	Various Location - Energy Conservation		
20-2030 (530428)	Capital Improvement Project	1,455	
38-01-44	Police Department		
Project 700-01	Pistol Range Reconstruction		
20-2030 (580613)	Capital Improvement Project	398	
41-00-00	Public Library		
Project 411-01	Various Branch Library - Boilers		
20-2020 (615187)	Facilities Maintenance Project	623	
42-04-02	Recreation and Park		
Project 286-01	Various Locations - Resurface Walks/Paths		
20-2020 (372516)	Facilities Maintenance Project	1	
Project 314-01	Zoo Repair/Replace Fencing		
20-2020 (372516)	Facilities Maintenance Project	7,073	
Project 562-01	Golden Gate Park - Tennis Courts		
20-2020 (372516)	Facilities Maintenance Project	19	
Project 837-01	Zoo Sewers/Drains		
20-2030 (372516)	Capital Improvement Project	49	
45-00-00	Social Services		
Project 947-01	Various Location - Energy Conservation		
20-2030 (381152)	Capital Improvement Project	13,687	
61-01-00	Fine Arts		
Project 833-01	Roof and Skylights		
20-2030 (401109)	Capital Improvement Project	5,245	
75-00-00	Electricity		
Project 846-01	Central Radio Station - Security Fence		
20-2030 (425587)	Capital Improvement Project	661	
	Total	$ 36,468	
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
49-4900 (874982)	Revenue Transfer Out to #01-005, General Fund - Project	$ 59,640	
47-4800 (841601)	Contribution Transfer Out to #31-001, Municipal Railway Operating Fund	801,148	
47-4800 (876789)	Contribution Transfer Out to #36-001, Hospital Operating Fund	7,304	
47-4800 (859330)	Contribution Transfer Out to #37-001, Laguna Honda Fund Group	12,805	
42-04-00	Recreation and Park		
Project 287-02	RTO To Other Funds		
49-4900 (866046)	Revenue Transfer Out to #06-515, Capital Project Fund Group	10,273	

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
	Various Departments		
Project XXX-XX	Various		
49-4900 (899252)	Revenue Transfer Out to #06-532, Capital Project Fund Group	949,313	
	Various Departments		
Project XXX-XX	Various		
49-4900 (882878)	Revenue Transfer Out to #06-533, Capital Project Fund Group	22,651	
	Various Departments		
Project XXX-XX	Various		
49-4900 (894600)	Revenue Transfer Out to #06-512, Capital Project Fund Group	102,286	
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve		2,001,888
	Total - General Fund	$ 2,001,888	$ 2,001,888
01-005	General Fund - Project		
06-00-00	Sheriff		
Project 760-01	Jail 3 Miscellaneous Ceiling Fixtures		
20-2030 (305011)	Capital Improvement Project	$ 2,434	
12-02-00	Juvenile Hall		
Project 676-01	YGC-Main Kitchen - Fire Pro System		
20-2030 (318105)	Capital Improvement Project	397	
12-03-00	Log Cabin Ranch		
Project 721-01	LCR-Water Supply Intake		
20-2030 (318113)	Capital Improvement Project	193	
25-17-00	Mayor's Office - Community Development		
Project 257-01	HP Neighbor Facilities Repairs		
20-2020 (323444)	Facilities Maintenance Project	454	
Project 259-01	Sojourner Childcare - Repairs		
20-2020 (323444)	Facilities Maintenance Project	973	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
28-00-00	Art Commission		
Project 210-01	Various Locations Monument Rehabilitation		
20-2020 (330464)	Facilities Maintenance Project	184	
Project 211-01	Western Addition Culture Center - Heating System		
20-2020 (330464)	Facilities Maintenance Project	3,066	
Project 212-01	Bayview Opera House - Repairs		
20-2020 (330464)	Facilities Maintenance Project	383	
42-04-02	Capital Projects		
Project 696-01	PFA-Water/Sewer Facilities		
20-2030 (620237)	Capital Improvement Project	41	
60-00-00	Academy of Sciences		
Project 634-01	Aquarium - Diesel Generator		
20-2030 (400101)	Capital Improvement Project	26,654	
75-00-00	Electricity		
Project 610-01	CRJ-Twin Peaks Modify Emerg. Gen.		
20-2030 (425033)	Capital Improvement Project	1,188	
87-28-00	Public Health - Substance Abuse		
Project 313-01	CMHS Various Location Facility Maintenance Project		
20-2020 (732636)	Facilities Maintenance Project	22,600	
91-01-00	Purchasing		
Project 822-01	Toxic Waste Prefabricated Container		
20-2030 (540401)	Capital Improvement Project	1,073	
Project 211-01	Western Addition Culture Center - Heating System		
20-2020 (330464)	Facilities Maintenance Project	3,066	
Project 212-01	Bayview Opera House - Repairs		
20-2020 (330464)	Facilities Maintenance Project	383	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
99-9990 (191312)	Revenue Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 59,640
	Total - General Fund Project	$ 59,640	$ 59,640
06-515	Capital Project Fund Group		
90-06-00	DPW - Engineering		
Project 445-00	VL Resurface Courts		
20-2020 (600551)	Facilities Maintenance Project	$ 10,273	
99-9990 (187617)	Revenue Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 10,273
	Total Capital Project Fund	$ 10,273	$ 10,273
06-530	Capital Project Fund Group		
90-02-00	DPW Architecture		
Project 953-00	SFMC Hall Guards		
20-2030 (602201)	Capital Improvement Project	$ 176	
06-532	Capital Project Fund Group		
90-02-00	DPW Architecture		
Project 085-00	SFFD Apparatus Doors		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	5,982	
Project 302-00	LHH Feasibility Study		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	2,238	
Project 424-00	HJ Roof Top Rec.		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	1,019	
Project 493-00	Dept. Elect. Various Buildings		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	16,779	
Project 515-00	City Hall Roof		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	336	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
Project 540-00	Main Library Restroom Improvement		
20-2020 (602243)	Facilities Maintenance Project	927	
Project 625-00	N. Market Senior Service Center		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	3,095	
Project 631-62	HA-BOETL-Testing Lab		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	65	
Project 638-00	S. Market Cultural Center		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Projects	5,382	
Project 639-00	Main Library Books Compact		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	5,411	
Project 644-00	Leg Honor Roof		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	143,363	
Project 701-00	HJ Coroner Toxic Laboratory		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Projects	22	
Project 708-00	LHH Resilient Floor		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	2,355	
Project 709-00	DA Consumer Fraud		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	3,195	
Project 714-00	Richmond Branch Library		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	31,108	
Project 727-00	Dept. of Social Service 150 Otis Renovation		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvment Project	6,225	
Project 731-00	CH INT LT Court Extension		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvment Project	188,460	
Project 732-00	Central Police Station		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvment Project	26,139	
Project 775-00	S.F.P.D. Renovation Study		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	15,155	
Project 782-00	Y.G.C. Various Building Roof		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	2766	
Project 791-00	S.F.G.H. Toxicology Library		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	286	
Project 817-00	L.H.H. Patients Hard		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	1,044	
Project 820-00	Log Cabin Ranch		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	105	
Project 826-00	County Jail 3 Men BD		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Inprovement Project	1,204	
Project 827-00	L.H.H. Volunteer Beauty		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	14	
Project 829-00	L.H.H. Claredon Hall		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	2,864	
Project 838-00	L.H.H. Various Wards		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	8,367	
Project 844-00	C.H. Sheriff Carpet		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	11,958	
Project 845-00	S.F.G.H. Psyc Emergency Service		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	22,679	
Project 847-00	CAS Emergency Generator Bldg.		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	30,411	
Project 862-00	C.H. City Attorney		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	2	
Project 866-00	L.H.H. Isolation Ward		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	3,998	
Project 874-00	Hidden Valley Ranch		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	14	
Project 881-63	M.A. Art Commission		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	1,350	
Project 883-00	Various Health Centers		
20-2030 (601243)	Capital Improvement Project	594	
Project 889-00	Leg HNR De Young Seismic		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	6,727	
Project 893-00	Various Location Restrooms		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	5,977	
Project 895-00	CJ3 Convert FAC		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	40,169	
Project 895-64	M.A. Art Commission		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	1,430	
Project 905-00	L.H.H. Ward Corridor		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	73	
Project 908-00	L.H.H. Ward Remodeling		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	32,720	
Project 909-00	H.J Municipal Court Remodeling		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	480	
Project 914-00	Main Library Needs		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	381	
Project 930-00	L.H.H. Fire Alarm Telephone		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	201	
Project 923-00	L.H.H. Pigeon Exclus.		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	2,880	
Project 934-00	CH RM.2C - Employee CAFE		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	308,515	
Project 953-00	S.F.P.D. Hazard Storage		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	1,461	
Project 964-00	H.J. Municipal Court -		
	Miscellaneous Flooring		
20-2030 (602243)	Capital Improvement Project	3,211	
99-9990 (202887)	Revenue Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 949.313
	Total - Capital Project Fund	$ 949.313	$ 949.313
06-533	Capital Project Fund		
Project 609-00	Coit Tower Rehabilitation		
20-2030 (602268)	Capital Improvement Project	$ 2,121	
Project 643-62	HA Art Commission		
20-2030 (602268)	Capital Improvement Project	242	
Project 678-00	S.F. Zoo Ticket Co.		
20-2030 (602268)	Capital Improvement Project	11,879	
Project 834-00	Candlestick Park Luxury Boxes		
20-2030 (602268)	Capital Improvement Project	8,409	
99-9990 (218834)	Revenue Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 22.651
	Total - Capital Project Fund	$ 22.651	$ 22.651
06-512	Capital Project Improvement		
90-06-00	D.P.H. - Engineering		
Project 252-00	Civic Center Steam Line		
20-2020 (600528)	Facilities Maintenance Project	890	
Project 303-00	Underground Tanks Various		
20-2030 (600528)	Facilities Maintenance Project	28,630	
Project 349-00	City Hall I.S.D. Cooling		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2020 (600528)	Facilities Maintenance Project	807	
Project 386-00	Various Off Phase II		
20-2020 (600528)	Facilities Maintenance Project	39,574	
Project 403-00	Various Police Station Elec		
20-2020 (600528)	Capital Improvement Project	20,179	
Project 618-00	Main Library		
20-2030 (600528)	Capital Improvement Project	29,704	
Project 727-00	Third (3rd) Street BR		
20-2030 (600528)	Capital Improvement Project	358	
Project 961-00	L.H.H. Various Elevator Improvement		
20-2030 (600528)	Capital Improvement Project	10	
Project 983-00	D.P.H. 101 Grove Fire Alarm		
20-2030 (600528)	Capital Improvement Project	134	
99-9990 (214288)	Revenue Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 102.286
	Total - Capital Project Fund	$ 102.286	$ 102.286
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating		
35-00-00	Municipal Railway		
Project 672-01	Replace Cable Machinery		
20-2030 (350421)	Capital Improvement Project	$ 38,721	
Project 632-00	14 Mission Extension		
20-2030 (560037)	Capital Improvement Project	199	
Project 233-01	Church and Duboce Track		
20-2020 (560037)	Facilities Maintenance Project	50,000	
Project 234-01	Green Center Track		
20-2020 (560037)	Facilities Maintenance Project	150,000	
Project 235-01	Green Center Negative		

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2020 (560037)	Facilities Maintenance Project	25,000	
Project 225-01	Miscellaneous Facilities Maintenance Project		
20-2020 (712943)	Facilities Maintenance Project	56,558	
Project 225-01	Miscellaneous Facilities Maintenance Project		
20-2020 (560037)	Facilities Maintenance Project	17,500	
Project 616-00	Energy Conservation Project		
20-2030 (713719)	Capital Improvement Project	442	
Project 640-00	Various Facility Capital Project		
20-2030 (714113)	Capital Improvement Project	37,299	
Project 681-00	Presidio Shop Roof		
20-2030 (350439)	Capital Improvement Project	15,189	
Project 690-01	PCC Street Car Rehabilitation		
20-2030 (560037)	Capital Improvement Project	350,000	
Project 716-00	Toxic Waster Prefab Container		
20-2030 (713313)	Capital Improvement Project	60,240	
98-9701 (196113)	Contribution Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 801,148
	Total - Municipal Railway Operating Fund	$ 801,148	$ 801,148
36-001	Hospital Operating Fund		
86-01-00	San Francisco General Hospital		
Project 600-01	Clinic Shell Area		
20-2030 (460162)	Capital Improvement Project	$ 1,787	
90-02-00	DPH - Architecture		
Project 663-21	SFMC Psych Unit 6B		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	1	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
Project 663-24	Design SFMC Ped Center Hard		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	23	
Project 663-31	CNST SVCS SFMC Psych. Unit		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	1,830	
Project 663-34	CNST SVS SFMC PED Center Hard		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	18	
Project 663-44	CNST/CNT SFMC Pedi Center Hard		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	3,013	
Project 663-62	HA Boeme SFMC Psch Unit 6B		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	630	
Project 663-63	HA BOEES SFMC PSCH Unit 6B		
20-2030 (770347)	Capital Improvement Project	2	
98-9701 (199471)	Contribution Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 7,304
	Total - Hospital Operating Fund	$ 7,304	$ 7,304
37-001	Laguna Honda Fund Group		
85-06-68	Bldgs. and Grounds		
Project 597-01	Miscellaneous Facility Maintenance Project		
20-2020 (450130)	Facilities Maintenance Projects	$ 10,600	
Project 619-01	Energy Conservation Project		
20-2030 (448092)	Capital Improvement Projects	2,205	
98-9701 (180315)	Contribution Transfer In From #01-001, General Fund; Dept. 99-00-00 General Fund Allocated		$ 12,805
	Total - Laguna Honda Fund Group	$ 12,805	$ 12,805

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. Yockey
CONTROLLER

ac(282GEN)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 11, 1990	§	June 18, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
101-89-155

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
6/6/90

FILE NO. 101-89-156 ORDINANCE NO. 239-90

RO#9259 SA#163

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $4,218,400 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (POLK-BUSH GARAGE), PARKING AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90. PLACING $4,218,400 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Special Revenue Fund		
G.L. 312 (003327)	Reserve for Contingencies (Neighborhood Parking Program)	$4,218,400	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
Project 620-01	Polk-Bush Garage		
20-2030 (353144)	Capital Improvement Project		$4,218,400

Section 2. Funds are hereby reserved in the amount of $4,218,400 to be released by the Finance Committee

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D Yockey Jr.
CONTROLLER

261-WPPSPGEN-1p

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
June 11, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez

Finally Passed
June 18, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 22 1990

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-156

Date Approved

Mayor

BUSINESS-SCIENCE
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 06 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 185-90-2 ORDINANCE NO. 234-90

(South of Market Redevelopment Plan)

APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE SOUTH OF MARKET EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

WHEREAS, the Community Redevelopment Financial Assistance and Disaster Project Law (California Health and Safety Code Section 34000 et seq., hereinafter the "Disaster Project Law") provides that a redevelopment agency within its area of operation may carry out a redevelopment project in a disaster area pursuant to the Community Redevelopment Law (California Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.) without regard to certain specified procedures found in the Community Redevelopment Law; and

WHEREAS, Section 34013 of the Disaster Project Law provides that notwithstanding any other provision of the Community Redevelopment Law, any redevelopment agency within its area of operation may plan, undertake and carry out a redevelopment project, and the redevelopment agency and the legislative body of the community may approve a redevelopment plan for such project without regard to certain procedural requirements; and

WHEREAS, "Disaster area" is defined in Section 34004 of the Disaster Project Law to mean a redevelopment area designated by the legislative body of the community, which the body has determined to be in need of redevelopment, rehabilitation or renewal as a result of a disaster, and

WHEREAS, Section 34003 of the Disaster Project Law defines "Disaster" to include any earthquake occurring on or after March 1, 1964, respecting which the Governor of the State has certified the need for assistance and which the President of the United States has determined to be a major disaster pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress, or other federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (the "Agency") has formulated and prepared the proposed Redevelopment Plan (the "Redevelopment Plan") for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area (the "Project Area") in accordance with the provisions of the Disaster Project Law and the Community Redevelopment Law; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has received from the Agency, the proposed Redevelopment Plan for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area, a copy of which is on file at the office of the Agency, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, California, together with the "Report to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area", prepared pursuant to the Community Redevelopment Financial Assistance and Disaster Project Law, including the reasons for the selection of the Project Area, facts establishing that a disaster has occurred, a description of the physical, social and economic conditions existing in the Project Area, the proposed method of financing the redevelopment of the Project Area, the plan and method of relocation, facts showing that the area is predominantly urbanized, a description of the inclusion of properties necessary for redevelopment, the summary of consultations with the South of Market Problem Solving Council, and the summary of consultations with affected taxing agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has made findings supporting a disaster exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, the Agency has adopted rules governing participation by and re-entry preferences for property owners and business occupants in the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the Agency held a public hearing on May 15, 1990, concerning the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan, notice of which hearing was duly published in The Examiner, a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco, at least 10 days prior to the public hearing on the Redevelopment Plan, as provided in Section 34013 (f) of the Disaster Project Law; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearing were mailed to the last known address of each assessee as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the City and County of San Francisco for each parcel of land in the Project Area as well as all residents and business operators within the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearing were mailed to the governing body of each taxing agency which received taxes from property in the Project Area along with a map and legal description of the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the notice of public hearing was mailed to the State Board of Equalization along with a map and legal description of the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has considered the results of any conferences with the taxing agencies, the communications from any persons in favor of or in objection to the proposed Redevelopment Plan, the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan, the Redevelopment Plan and its economic feasibility, and has provided an opportunity for all persons to be heard, and has received and considered all evidence and testimony presented for or against any and all aspects of the Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, all actions required by law have been taken by all appropriate public agencies;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. Purposes and Intent

The purposes and intent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco with respect to the Project Area are to undertake, carry out, or approve properties, to only maintain, repair, restore, demolish, or replace property or facilities damaged or destroyed as a result of a disaster which exceed 25% of its replacement cost or $150,000 whichever is less, and to perform actions necessary to prevent or mitigate an emergency within the Project Area, in accord with the Master Plan, specific plans and local codes and ordinances, in order to:

(a) encourage the involvement and participation of residents, business persons, property owners, and commu-

BUSINESS-SCIENCE DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 06 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

nity organizations in the redevelopment of the community and provide a reasonable preference for persons engaged in business in the Project Area.

(b) make financial and technical assistance available to affected persons in the Project Area to help rebuild that area of the City and County of San Francisco most severely damaged by the disaster.

(c) make resources available to rebuild and revitalize the Sixth Street area and the adjacent residential and business neighborhoods affected by the disaster.

(d) assemble fragmented parcels into usable parcel sizes that can be developed.

(e) create and retain employment opportunities for community residents and reinstate economic stability in the disaster area.

(f) provide for pedestrian and vehicular traffic circulation system which is coordinated with land uses and densities and adequate to accommodate traffic volume.

(g) provide adequate off-street parking to serve current and future uses within the Project Area.

(h) resolve land use inconsistencies existing in the Project Area.

(i) establish and implement performance criteria to assure high standards for site design, environmental quality and other design elements which provide unity and integrity to the Project Area.

(j) achieve an environment reflecting a high level of concern for architectural, landscape and urban design and land use principles appropriate for attainment of the objectives of this Redevelopment Plan.

SECTION 2. Designation of Disaster Area

The Board of Supervisors hereby designates that area identified as the redevelopment project area in the Redevelopment Plan a "Disaster area" as defined in Section 34004 of the Disaster Project Law and hereby determines that such area is in need of redevelopment, rehabilitation, and renewal as a result of a disaster, as such term is defined in Section 34003 of the Disaster Project Law. Such designation is based on substantial evidence in the record, including, but not limited to, the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan and all documents referenced therein, and evidence and testimony received at the public hearing on adoption of the Redevelopment Plan held on May 15, 1990.

SECTION 3. Findings

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines, based on substantial evidence in the record, including, but not limited to the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan, and all documents referenced therein, and evidence and testimony received at the public hearing on adoption of the Redevelopment Plan held on May 15, 1990, that:

(a) The entire Project Area is in need of redevelopment, rehabilitation, and renewal as a result of a disaster, as such term is defined in Section 34003 of the Disaster Project Law. This finding is based in part on the following facts and the following conditions which characterize the Project Area:

(1) An earthquake and continuing aftershocks occurred in the area on October 17, 1989.

(2) The Governor of the State has certified the need for assistance as a result of the earthquake.

(3) The President of the United States has determined that the earthquake was a major disaster pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress.

(4) Improved Properties within the Project Area were damaged or destroyed as a result of the disaster.

(b) The majority of the disaster area, as defined in Section 34004 of the Disaster Project Law, is within the Project Area.

(c) As a result of the disaster, there is a need for the speedy adoption of the Redevelopment Plan.

(d) The Project Area is a predominantly urbanized area. This finding is based upon the fact that not less than eighty percent (80%) of the privately owned property in the Project Area has been or is developed for urban uses, as demonstrated by the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan. In addition, as demonstrated by the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan, the Project Area is part of an area developed for urban uses.

(e) The Redevelopment Plan has been prepared and will be implemented in conformity with the Community Redevelopment Law and the Disaster Project Law and in the interests of the public peace, health, safety and welfare. This finding is based upon the fact that the purposes of the Community Redevelopment Law and the Disaster Project Law would be attained by the Project: (i) by the remediation of areas in need of redevelopment as a result of a disaster and which could not be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without public participation and assistance; (ii) by protecting and promoting sound redevelopment of the disaster area and general welfare of the citizens of the City by remedying injurious conditions through the employment of appropriate means; (iii) through the installation of new or replacement of existing public improvements, facilities and utilities in areas which are currently inadequately served with regard to such improvements, facilities and utilities; and (iv) by other means as determined appropriate.

(f) The adoption and implemention of the Redevelopment Plan is economically sound and feasible. This finding is based on the fact that under the Redevelopment Plan the Agency will be authorized to seek and utilize a variety of potential financing resources, including tax increments; that the nature and timing of public redevelopment assistance will depend upon the amount and availability of such financing resources, including tax increments, generated by new investment in the Project Area; that under the Redevelopment Plan no public redevelopment activity can be undertaken unless the Agency can demonstrate that it has adequate revenue to finance the activity; and that the financing plan included within the Agency's Report on the Redevelopment Plan demonstrates that sufficient financial resources will be available to carry out the project.

(g) The implementation of the Redevelopment Plan will promote the public peace, health, safety and welfare

of the City and County of San Francisco and will effectuate the purposes and policy of the Community Redevelopment Law and the Disaster Project Law. This finding is based on the fact that redevelopment will benefit the Project Area by correcting damage suffered as a result of a disaster and by coordinating public and private actions to improve the economic, social and physical conditions of the Project Area.

(h) The condemnation of real property, as provided for in the Redevelopment Plan, and as based on the Disaster Project Law and the Community Redevelopment Law, is necessary to the execution of the Redevelopment Plan, and adequate provisions have been made for the payment for property to be acquired as provided by law. This finding is based upon the need to ensure that the Redevelopment Plan will be carried out to effectuate the purposes and policy of the Community Redevelopment Law and the Disaster Project Law. Such condemnation is limited to properties which have been damaged and where owners of such property do not remedy the damage, it is further limited to properties where replacement cost exceeds $150,000 and on residential property of more than 10 units.

(i) The Agency has presented a plan for the encouragement, to the maximum extent feasible, of the provision of dwellings suitable for the needs of families displaced by the disaster or by redevelopment, rehabilitation or renewal activities, in accordance with Section 34013 of the Disaster Project Law, and has a feasible method and plan for the relocation of families and persons who might be displaced, temporarily or permanently, by the Agency from housing facilities in the Project Area. This finding is based upon the fact that the law and the fact that such assistance, including relocation payments, constitutes a feasible method for relocation.

(j) Inclusion of any lands, buildings or improvements which are not detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare is necessary for the effective redevelopment of the area of which they are a part; any such area included is necessary for effective redevelopment and is not included for the purpose of obtaining the allocation of tax increment revenues from such area pursuant to Section 33670 without other substantial justification for its inclusion.

(k) The effect of tax increment financing, as provided for in the Redevelopment Plan, will not cause a significant financial burden or detriment on any taxing agency deriving revenues from the Project Area.

(l) The redevelopment of the Project Area cannot reasonably be expected to be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without the aid and assistance of the Agency.

(m) That all actions required by law have been taken by all appropriate public agencies. While certain procedures have been omitted because they were impossible, impractical, or infeasible to perform by virtue of the procedural requirements exemptions for the preparation of and adoption of a redevelopment plan referred to in Section 34013 of the Disaster Project Law, or were enacted after the effective date of the Disaster Project Law and are impossible, impractical or infeasible to perform by virtue of the procedural requirements exempted by Section 34013 by virtue of the Determination of this Board of Supervisors of the need for speedy adoption of the Redevelopment Plan.

SECTION 4. Objections

All written and oral objections to the Redevelopment Plan for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area have been considered and are hereby overruled.

SECTION 5. South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Plan Adopted

That certain document entitled "Redevelopment Plan for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area," the map and legal description contained therein, and such other reports as are incorporated therein by reference, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, having been duly reviewed and considered, is hereby incorporated in this Ordinance by reference and made a part hereof, and as so incorporated is hereby designated, approved, and adopted as the official "Redevelopment Plan for the South of Market Earthquake Recovery Redevelopment Project Area."

SECTION 6. Cooperation

In order to implement and facilitate the implementation of the Redevelopment Plan hereby approved, this Board of Supervisors hereby

(a) pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out the Redevelopment Plan,

(b) requests the various officials, departments, boards, and agencies of the City and County having administrative responsibilities in the Project Area likewise to cooperate to such end and to exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner consistent with redevelopment of the Project Area.

(c) stands ready to consider and take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to effectuate the Redevelopment Plan, and

(d) declares its intention to undertake and complete any proceeding necessary to be carried out by the City and County under the provisions of the Redevelopment Plan.

SECTION 7. Certification and Transmittal

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to send a certified copy of this Ordinance to the Agency, whereupon the Agency is vested with the responsibility for carrying out the Redevelopment Plan.

SECTION 8. Recordation

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to record with the County Recorder of San Francisco County a description of the land within the Project area and a statement that proceedings for the redevelopment of the Project Area have been instituted under the Community Redevelopment Law and the Disaster Project Law.

SECTION 9. Building Permit Notice

The Department of City Planning of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed for a period of two (2) years after the effective date of this Ordinance to advise all applicants for building permits within the Project Area that the site for which a building permit is sought for the construction of buildings or for other improvements is within a redevelopment project area.

SECTION 10. Notice to Taxing Agencies

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit a copy of the description and statement recorded by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 10 of this Ordinance, and a map or plat indicating the boundaries of the Project Area, to the Auditor and Tax Assessor of San Francisco County, to the governing body of each of the taxing agencies which levies taxes upon any property in the Project Area, and to the State Board of Equalization.

SECTION 11. Publication

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the passage of this Ordinance and to cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City and County of San Francisco.

SECTION 12. Severability

If any part of this Ordinance or the Redevelopment Plan which it approves is held to be invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance or of the Redevelopment Plan, and this Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed the remainder of the Ordinance or approved the remainder of the Redevelopment Plan if such invalid portion thereof had been deleted.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 4, 1990	June 11, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Nelder Kennedy Walker
Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher	Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher
Absent: Supervisors Britt Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 185-90-2

JUN 22 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-14

ORDINANCE NO. 235-90

RO #9272

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MIKE CROWLEY AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MIKE CROWLEY v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., "San Francisco Superior Court No. 928-771" by the payment of $10,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT

MARY E. BURNS, Manager

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 42
620245 1450 $10,000.00

APR 26 1990

APPROVED:

RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION

Secretary

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 18, 1990	§	June 25, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-14

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 1 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-90-17

ORDINANCE NO. 236-90

RO #9290

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CARMEN CABRERA, VIOLET GARCIA, RICHARD CABRERA, MARIO CABRERA, and ALBERT CABRERA AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $7,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CARMEN CABRERA, VIOLET GARCIA, RICHARD CABRERA, MARIO CABRERA, and ALBERT CABRERA v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 882-678, by the payment of $7,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DAVID WERDEGAR, M.D., M.P.H.
Director, Department of Public Health

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 86
309807 1450 $7,000.00

MAY 10 1990

APPROVED:
HEALTH COMMISSION

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	$	
	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-90-17

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

JUL 12 1990

SAN FRANCISCO

FILE NO. 45-90-19 ORDINANCE NO. 237-90

RO #9311

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF CHARLES JOHNSON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $25,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of Charles Johnson, by the payment of $25,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $25,000.00

82771 MAY 30 1990

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-19

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

PLANNING DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-90-20

ORDINANCE NO. 238-90

RO #9296

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., ET AL.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $10,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Joseph King arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; Davis, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consoldated) by the payment of $10,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT

_____
FREDERICK F. Postel
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
SAM YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $10,000.00

MAY 15 1990

APPROVED:

_____
SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
45-90-20

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-21

ORDINANCE NO. 239-90

RO #9295

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., ET AL.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLDATED) FOR $30,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Audrey Lee arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; Davis, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $30,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT

_____
FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
SAM YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $30,000.00

MAY 15 1990

APPROVED:

_____
SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 18, 1990	§	June 25, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	§	Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
	§	Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher	§	
Hongisto Kennedy Ward	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JUN 29 1990

_____
John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-21

_____
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-90-22

ORDINANCE NO. 240-90

~~RO #9216~~

[Settlement of Claim]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF MICHAEL JONES v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $15,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of MICHAEL JONES, by the payment of $15,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $15,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	$	

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 2 6 '90

Date Approved

File No.
45-90-22

Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-23 ORDINANCE NO. 241-90

RO #9317

[Settlement of Claim]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF JAMES DUNSON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $55,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of JAMES DUNSON, by the payment of $55,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $55,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

****

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	$	Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
	$	Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher	$	
Hongisto Kennedy Ward	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No.
45-90-23

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-24 ORDINANCE NO. 242-90

RO #9315

[Settlement of Claim]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF JIMMIE T. BRADEN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $85,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of JIMMIE T. BRADEN, by the payment of $85,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller
01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $85,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

ssmii

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Kennedy	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-90-24

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-25

ORDINANCE NO. 243-90

RO #9321

[Settlement of Claim]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF JAMES OUTLEY v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $12,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of JAMES OUTLEY, by the payment of $12,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller
01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $12,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

*****

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-90-25

JUN 29 1990

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-26

ORDINANCE NO. 244-90

RO #9316

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Et Al.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $65,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Robert Demmons arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; DAVIS, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $65,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $65,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-90-26

JUN 29 19..
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-27 ORDINANCE NO. 245-90

RO #9323

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S., ET AL.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $81,500 TO NATHANIEL WINSTON, $3,822.50 TO THE LAWYERS COMMITTEE FOR URBAN AFFAIRS AND $1,200 TO THE LAW FIRM OF STEINHART AND FALCONER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Nathaniel Winston arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $81,500.00 to Nathaniel Winston, $3,822.50 to the Lawyers Committee for Urban Affairs and $1,200 to the Law Firm of Steinhart and Falconer.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $86,522.50

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-90-27

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-90-29

ORDINANCE NO. 246-90

RO #9320

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Et Al.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $40,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Joseph Morrison arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; DAVIS, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $40,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $40,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-90-29

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-30

ORDINANCE NO. 247-90

RO #9319

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S., ET AL.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $65,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Larry Lake arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $65,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $65,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 13 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-90-30

JUN 29 1990

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-31

ORDINANCE NO. 248-90

RO #9313

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Et Al.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $60,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Melvin Hayes arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; DAVIS, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $60,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $60,000.00

***** JUN 0 1 1990

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No. 45-90-31

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-90-8 ORDINANCE NO. 247-90

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST KEITH OTTO MESKE BY ACCEPTING CONSIDERATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $15,000.00 AND DISCHARGING SAID KEITH OTTO MESKE FROM FURTHER LIABILITY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Tax Collector Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle the controversy in the San Francisco Superior Court No. 907533 entitled CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO v. KEITH OTTO MESKE, by accepting the total sum of $15,000.00, payable on the date this Ordinance is enacted.

Section 2. After payment of the amount specified in Section 1, the Tax Collector Attorney is further directed to release said KEITH OTTO MESKE from all liability for debts arising out of the San Francisco Superior Court No. 907533 and to file a dismissal of the said superior court litigation.

Section 3. It is expressly understood that any default in the payment of the amount described in Section 1 shall entitle the City and County of San Francisco to all rights afforded a creditor in San Francisco Superior Court Action No. 907533.

RECOMMENDED BY:

THAD BROWN
Tax Collector

APPROVED BY:

MARY I. CALLANAN
Treasurer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-90-8

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 48-90-11 ORDINANCE NO. 250-90

RO #9289

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF JOSEPH EVERETT v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $25,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of JOSEPH EVERETT, by the payment of $25,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERCK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $25,000.00

MAY 10 1990

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
48-90-11

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 48-90-12 ORDINANCE NO. 251-90

RO #9288

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF ADAM YOUNG v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $8,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "U.S.A. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al; DAVIS, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO", United States District Court Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated), Claim of ADAM YOUNG, by the payment of $8,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $8,000.00

MAY 10 1990

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
48-90-12

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 3 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-90-25 ORDINANCE NO. 252-90

(Home Detention)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 13.63 THERETO AUTHORIZING THE SHERIFF TO ESTABLISH A HOME DETENTION PROGRAM FOR MINIMUM SECURITY COUNTY PRISONERS.

Note: This entire Section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 13.63 thereto to read as follows:

SECTION 13.63 HOME DETENTION PROGRAM. The Sheriff is authorized to offer a Home Detention Program, as specified in Section 1203.016 of the California Penal Code, in which minimum security prisoners and low-risk offenders committed to the county jail or other county correctional facility or inmates participating in a Work Furlough program may voluntarily participate in a Home Detention program during their sentence in lieu of confinement in the county jail or other county correctional facility.

Approved as to Form:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By Theodore R Lakey
Theodore Lakey,
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-25

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 3 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-90-28 ORDINANCE NO. 253-90

General Assistance - Income Disregard]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, GENERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, BY AMENDING SECTION 20.57 TO PERMIT THE GENERAL MANAGER OF THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES TO INSTITUTE AN INCOME DISREGARD PROGRAM WHEN DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY AND GRANT AMOUNTS OF GENERAL ASSISTANCE CLIENTS WHO HAVE WAGE EARNINGS AS DEFINED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR SIX MONTH REVIEW.

6/13/90e

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 20.57 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 20.57. COMPUTATION AND PAYMENT OF AID GRANTS; AMOUNTS PAYABLE.

(a) For each eligible individual or for each eligible family of two or more persons who qualify for aid under the General Assistance Program, the maximum monthly amount of aid to which such recipients are entitled is listed below.

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

MAXIMUM GENERAL ASSISTANCE GRANT AMOUNT

Single Individual	$235
Number of Eligible Person in Same Family	
2 Persons	$ 395
3 Persons	$ 480
4 Persons	$ 591
5 Persons	$ 682
6 Persons	$ 768
7 Persons	$ 845
8 Persons	$ 933
9 Persons	$1022
10 Persons	$1111

In the case of more than 10 persons in a family budget unit, an additional $25 each month shall be paid for each additional person in the family budget unit. For the purpose of this section, a family is defined as a group of persons living together who are related by the first degree of consanguinity.

(b) With respect to all recipients, initial aid payments shall be conditional upon completion of the Department orientation and evaluation program. In addition, with respect to each employable recipient, continuing aid shall be conditioned, at the option of the Department, upon either completion of 20 verifiable job applications per month and/or participation in a Department approved job counseling, vocational rehabilitation, drug or alcohol treatment or work assignment program. In addition, with respect to each unemployable recipient, continuing aid shall be conditioned upon application and pursuit of SSI/SSP benefits, if eligible, and participation in a Department approved rehabilitation program. Aid may be withheld, reduced, or discontinued for failure to meet these requirements.

(c) The Maximum General Assistance Grant may include a Municipal Railway Fast Pass or tokens as an in-kind grant, in which event the cost of the Municipal Railway Fast Pass or tokens to the Department of Social Services shall be deducted from the Maximum General Assistance Grant Amounts listed above to arrive at the Maximum Cash General Assistance Grant Amount which may be issued by means of warrants, vouchers or checks. The Municipal Railway Fast Pass or tokens shall be for the sole use of the recipient.

(d) The Maximum General Assistance Grant Amounts listed in Section 20.57(a) of this ordinance shall be adjusted automatically each July 1st beginning July 1, 1981, by the annual percentage cost of living increase or decrease to the Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care adopted by the California State Legislature in the Aid to Families with Dependent Children Program.

(e) The maximum monthly amount of aid for which an applicant is eligible shall be the Maximum General Assistance Grant Amount prorated as of the eligibility determination date, less any cash available to the applicant during that calendar month, and less the fair market value of any nonexempt personal property available to the applicant during that calendar month. Rent, retroactive to the first of the month in which eligibility was determined, may be authorized to prevent eviction from existing housing.

(f) The maximum monthly amount of aid for which a recipient is eligible shall be the Maximum General Assistance Grant Amount, or the amount determined pursuant to Section (b) less any cash received from sources other than General Assistance which is or will be available to the recipient during the month for which aid is paid, and less the fair market value of any nonexempt personal property which is or will be available to the recipient during the month for which aid is paid.

(g) No grant shall be issued for less than $5.

(h) To promote the transition of General Assistance clients to gainful employment, the General Manager of the Department of Social Services may establish an Income and an Asset Disregard Program for the clients who are employed for a two year pilot period. The Income and Asset Disregard Program shall provide for disregarding a certain amount of gross income which a client earns as wages when determining a client's General Assistance Program eligibility and grant amount. The total amount of gross income disregarded shall not exceed the sum of the following amounts: (1) all of the first one hundred dollars ($100.00) of client gross wage earnings; (2) two thirds (2/3) of the second one hundred dollars ($100.00) of client gross wage earnings; (3) one half (1/2) of the third one hundred dollars ($100.00) of client gross wage earnings; (4) one third (1/3) of the fourth one hundred dollars ($100.00) of client gross wage earnings, and; (5) one fifth (1/5) of the fifth one hundred dollars ($100.00) of client gross wage earnings. All client gross wage earnings above five hundred dollars ($500.00) shall be offset on a dollar for dollar basis against the grant amount to which a client would otherwise be entitled. Client gross wage earnings are defined for purposes of this section as any income received by the client as payment for a client's labor. This section supercedes the provisions of Section 20.56.6, Subsections 20.56.10 (a) and (b), and Subsection 20.57 (f) above when determining client eligibility and when calculating the maximum monthly amount of aid for clients who have wage earnings and assets derived from wage earning as set forth herein. All other client income and assets shall continue to be offset on a dollar for dollar basis when calculating the grant amount to which a client is otherwise eligible.

Every six months, commencing six months after the program is implemented, the General manager shall report to the Board of Supervisors and to the Mayor the progress and results of the program to date. At the end of the two year pilot period, the General manager shall report to the Board of Supervisors and to the Mayor the results of the pilot project, including at least the following information: (a) the costs and savings to the General Assistance and the Food Stamps Programs, (b) the number of clients who utilize the income and asset disregards, (c) the number of clients in the GATES program who utilize the disregards, (d) the amount of client earnings for participants in the Income and Asset Disregard Programs, (e) the number of clients who leave the General Assistance program due to earned income immediately following participation in the Income and Asset Disregard Programs.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
CRAIG M. MCCABE
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 18, 1990	§	June 25, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh	§	Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Nelder Walker	§	Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
97-90-28

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-145 ORDINANCE NO. 254-90

RO #9298 SA #171

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $938,167 FOR FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECT (PISTOL RANGE RENOVATION), POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
05-608	Bond Fund Group		
38-05-31	1987 Police Facilities Improvement		
Project 105-00	Pistol Range Renovation		
54-5401 (050302)	Interest Earned - Pooled Cash	$ 938,167	
20-2020 (580357)	Facilities Maintenance Project		$ 938,167

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

wpspgen/259p.12/ch1

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
June 18, 1990	$	June 25, 1990
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh	$	Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Nelder Walker	$	Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-89-145

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 3 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-89-157

ORDINANCE NO. 255-90

RO #9314 SA #189

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $4,220,846 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (POLICE FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS), POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1989-90 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
05-608	Bond Fund		
38-05-31	Planning and Research		
55-5500 (050302)	Proceeds - Sale of Bonds	$ 4,220,846	
Project 100-01	Police Facilities Improvements		
20-2030 (580357)	Capital Improvement Project		$ 4,220,846

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Ilona E. Landes
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. Yockey Jr.
CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-157

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 13 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
6/14/90

FILE NO. 118-90-3

ORDINANCE NO. 256-90

(Animal Regulation)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER V OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 37 RELATING TO THE KEEPING OF SMALL ANIMALS

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter V of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 37 to read as follows:

SEC. 37. KEEPING AND FEEDING OF SMALL ANIMALS, POULTRY AND GAME BIRDS. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to keep or feed, or cause to be kept or fed, or permit to be kept or fed, on premises over which any such person, firm or corporation may have control, more than four of the following: ((Live hares))Hares, rabbit, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots, of any species, game birds of any species, ((wild animals of any species,)) or cats, within ((the first and second residential districts as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco)) residential districts.

(a) Enclosures. Any person, firm or corporation, keeping, feeding, or causing to be kept or fed, or permitting to be kept or fed, on premises over which such person, firm or corporation may have control, four or less ((live)) hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots, of any species, games birds of any species or wild animals of any species, shall keep same in coops or enclosures that are approved by the Director of Public Health. Said coops or enclosures shall be not less than 20 feet from any door or window of any building used for human habitation.

If after due investigation, in the opinion of the Director of Public Health, the keeping or feeding of four or less ((live)) hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, wild animals of any species, or cats, is not done in a sanitary manner, the Director of Public Health may serve written notice on the person, firm or corporation or remove same from the premises within 30 days.

(b) Prohibition. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business of keeping, feeding, or breeding any hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, dogs, cats, for commercial purposes, within ((the first and second residential districts as defined by existing laws)) residential districts.

(c) Commercial Purposes. It is hereby declared to be unlawful to conduct for commercial purposes any establishment in which dogs, cats, hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, are kept and maintained in ((the Commercial District, Light Industrial District and Heavy Industrial District, as these districts are at present defined by existing law or as may thereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco)) commercial and industrial districts without first obtaining from the Department of Public Health a permit so to do.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

No permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health to any person, firm or corporation, to keep or maintain for commercial purposes any of the above named fowl, animals or birds within ((the Commercial, Light Industrial or Heavy Industrial Districts as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco)) commercial and industrial districts, unless said person, firm or corporation has complied in full with the following requirements:

(1) It shall be unlawful to establish hereafter any place of business for the sale of the fowl, animals or birds specified above within 25 feet of any door, window or other opening of any dwelling, apartment house or hotel if live fowl, animals or birds intended for sale are kept therein; provided, however, that this restriction shall not apply if a wall, ceiling, floor or other impermeable barrier between the place of business and such habitation will prevent odors and noise from disturbing the occupants of the habitation. It shall be unlawful to keep said live fowl, animals or birds in any basement, sub-basement or cellar in any place of business unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated, as approved by the Director of Public Health and is also adequately lighted, completely ratproofed and complies fully with the sanitary requirements set forth in Section 440, Article 8, Chapter V of this Code.

(((2) It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health and he is hereby expressly empowered to prescribe to the owners of existing establishments wherein such live fowl, animals or birds are kept for purposes of sale, such sanitary improvements

/ / /

as may appear to the Director of Public Health to be necessary and advisable and such systems and methods of ventilation of basements, sub-basements and cellars above referred to as may appear desirable for gradual installation during the present war emergency if the equipment and materials therefor are available. It shall be unlawful after the present was emergency has terminated to keep any of the live fowl, animals or birds herein above specified in any basement or sub-basement or cellar unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated as approved by the Director of Public Health and complies fully with the sanitary requirements of this Code; provided, that the owners of said establishment shall have a reasonable period of time to be prescribed by the Director of Public Health following the termination of said war emergency to obtain necessary material and equipment to comply with this provision.))

(((3) The floors of all such premises must be constructed of waterproof material properly drained to the sewer.))

(2) The floors of all such premises must be of waterproof material, smooth and of durable construction properly drained to the sewer. These floor surfaces shall be coved at the juncture of the floor and wall with a 3/8 inch minimum radius coving and shall extend up the wall at least 4 inches.

(((4))) (3) Said premises shall be ratproof, all openings properly fly-screened, and adequate provision must be made for the elimination of all odors.

(((5))) (4) The walls and ceilings of all such premises must be of durable, smooth, nonabsorbent, washable surface, and be light-colored ((hard finished plaster, painted with two coats of lead and oil paint, light in color)).

(((6))) (5) In all premises where slaughtering of fowl,

birds or animals is carried on in connection with the keeping of said fowl, birds or animals, the killing room must be entirely separate from that part of the premises occupied by the live fowl, animals or birds.

((The floors of said slaughtering room must be of waterproof material, properly drained to the sewer. The walls and ceilings must be of hard-finished plaster and painted two coats or lead and oil paint, light in color.))

Refrigerating equipment must be installed for the reception of the dressed fowl, birds or animals, property connected to the sewer. Toilet and lavatory facilities for the use of the employees engaged in the handling and slaughtering of such birds, animals or fowl must be installed in conformity with the provisions of the plumbing law.

(d) Exceptions. The terms and provisions of this Section shall not apply to the keeping, liberation for exercise, or racing of homing or carrier pigeons which are not raised or kept for the market or for commercial purposes, and the lofts or pigeons houses wherein said homing or carrier pigeons are kept are elevated at least three feet above the ground or other foundation upon post-legs or pillars completely surrounded or covered by smooth, jointless galvanized sheet metal and within not less than 20 feet from the door or window of any building used for human habitation, and the entire floor and sides for at least two feet extending upwards from the bottom of the floor of said lofts or pigeons houses, are covered or protected by galvanized iron or its equivalent, concrete or 18 gauge wire mesh of not more than 1/2 inch and the interior of said lofts or pigeons houses, wherein such carrier or homing pigeons are kept, are registered by the owners thereof with the Department of

Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and the said lofts or pigeon houses shall be inspected by the said Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco at least once a year.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

0977H

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 18, 1990	June 25, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker Kennedy Ward	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

JUN 29 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
118-90-3

FILE NO. 102-90-1

As amended in Board 6/15/90
ORDINANCE NO. 257-90

1990

INTERIM ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR 1990 - 1991

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-90-1

As amended in Board 6/15/90
ORDINANCE NO. 258-90

INTERIM

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1991

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

June 19, 1990.

FILE NO. 118-90-4 ORDINANCE NO. 259-90

[Disaster Register]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER V OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 266 THERETO TO REQUIRE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A REGISTRY FOR SENIOR AND DISABLED PERSONS WHO WISH TO BE CONTACTED IN THE EVENT OF A DISASTER.

Note: The entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Sec. 1, Part II, Chapter V of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 266 thereto to read as follows:

Section 266. The Department of Public Health shall establish and maintain a register identifying those persons who wish to be visited after a major earthquake or other disaster which poses a threat of injury to such persons. The persons eligible to register are those 65 years of age or older, those who are disabled, and those who employ or house persons who are eligible to register. A "disabled person" is one whose life functions have been significantly altered by their medical condition or disease, or who has any other significantly disabling physical or mental condition including, but not limited to, a physical condition that significantly impairs his or her ability to move normally, a chronic illness that requires continuing medication to prevent a life-threatening disease, or a condition (such as heart disease) that could result in a serious medical problem in a disaster. Persons who wish to be included in the register shall provide their name, address, telephone number and the names, addresses and telephone numbers of any relatives, neighbors, or other persons who regularly communicate with the person registered and may be able to provide information as to that person's condition in the event of a disaster. In the event of a major earthquake or other disaster which poses a threat of injury to senior and disabled persons, the Department shall attempt to visit or otherwise communicate with registered persons in order to determine if they need medical or other assistance. The Department shall act as expeditiously as possible, taking into consideration the need to allocate resources to respond to the disaster. The Department shall provide notice to the public that registration is available by such means as the Department determines best suited to reach seniors and the disabled.

By adopting this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach approximately caused injury.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Theodore R. Lakey
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 2 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 25, 1990	§	July 2, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
	§	
	§	Absent: Supervisors Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

JUL 6 1990

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
118-90-4

JUL 6 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 203-90-1 ORDINANCE NO. 260-90

[Quitclaim Deed]

AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING EXECUTION OF QUITCLAIM DEED BY CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE GALILEO HIGH SCHOOL SITE; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized to execute and the Director of Property shall deliver a quitclaim deed to real property in San Francisco, California, being the Galileo High School campus, designated as Assessor's Blocks 454 and 475, San Francisco, California, releasing all right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in such property to the San Francisco Unified School District, a political subdivision of the State of California.

Section 2. That the Galileo High School campus was purchased as a public school property in 1920 and 1924 with funds from the School Construction Fund Bond Issue of 1918, that the City and County of San Francisco holds title merely as a trustee, and that any interest in this school site which the City may have is of no value.

Section 3. That the Department of City Planning has reported that the execution of said quitclaim deed is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and this Board adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning in its letter to the Real Estate Department dated March 30, 1990.

RECOMMENDED:

Brad Paul
Deputy Mayor of Housing and Neighborhoods

Director of Property
1593

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Andrea W. Schwartz
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
June 25, 1990	July 2, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Nelder	Noes: Supervisors Nelder
	Absent: Supervisors Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
203-90-1

7/9/90
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 2 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 39-89-5.1 ORDINANCE NO. 267-90

[Voter Information Pamphlet]

AMENDING ARTICLE VIII, CHAPTER 5 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 5.74.3, 5.74.4, 5.74.5, 5.74.6, 5.74.7, 5.79 AND 5.80 THEREOF, RELATING TO BALLOT ARGUMENTS APPEARING IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses.))

Section 1. Article VIII, Chapter 5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 5.74.3, 5.74.4, 5.74.5, 5.74.6 and 5.74.7 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 5.74.3. Ballot Arguments; Deadlines For Submission.

(a) Ballot arguments submitted for selection as the ((official)) "proponent's" argument for or "opponent's" argument against a measure as provided in Section 5.74.4 must be submitted to the Registrar of Voters not less than 78 days prior to the election at which the related measure is to be voted upon.

(b) Rebuttal arguments as provided for in Section 5.74.6 must be submitted to the Registrar of Voters not less than 71 days prior to election at which the related measure is to be voted upon.

(c) Ballot arguments submitted for publication as paid arguments for or against a measure as provided for in Section 5.74.8 must be submitted to the Registrar of Voters not less than 71 days prior to the election at which the related measure is to be voted upon.

/ / /

/ / /

(d) Arguments may be changed or withdrawn by the persons submitting them at any time up to and including the last day for submission.

SEC. 5.74.4. ((Official)) "Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments.

The Registrar shall cause one argument for and against the measure to be selected as the ((official)) "proponent's" and "opponent's" argument((s)), respectively, and printed free of charge in the voters pamphlet in a location following the ballot statement or question, the ballot digest and the Controller's statement for that measure.

SEC. 5.74.5. ((Official)) "Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments; Selection If More Than One Submitted.

(a) In the event more than one argument is submitted for selection as the ((official)) "proponent's" argument for or "opponent's" argument against any measure, the Registrar shall not less than 77 days prior to the election select the argument to be published according to the order of priority shown herein.

Arguments supporting a measure:

1. The proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors or four members of the Board of Supervisors if the measure is submitted by the same.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member of members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.

4. Any bona fide association of citizens that has filed as a campaign committee in support of the measure.

5. Any bona fide association of citizens, or combination of voters and association of citizens.

6. Any individual voter.

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 2 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Arguments opposing a measure:

1. In the case of a referendum, the person who files a referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.

4. Any bona fide association of citizens that has filed as a campaign committee in opposition to the measure.

5. Any bona fide association of citizens, or combination of voters and association of citizens.

6. Any individual voter.

(b) In the event that more than one argument is submitted for or against a measure at any given level of priority and no argument entitled to higher priority is submitted, the Registrar shall select the ((official)) "proponent's" or "opponent's" argument by lot from among all arguments at the highest level of priority.

SEC. 5.74.6. ((Official)) "Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments; Rebuttals.

Upon selection of the ((official)) "proponent's" argument((s)) for and "opponent's" argument against a measure, the Registrar of Voters shall immediately send copies of both to the persons whose arguments have been selected. The authors of the direct arguments may each prepare and submit a rebuttal argument not to exceed 250 words. Rebuttal arguments shall be printed in the same manner as the direct arguments and in a location immediately following the appropriate direct arguments. If no direct argument is submitted in support of or in opposition to a measure, the Registrar shall not accept or publish any rebuttal argument.

/ / /

SEC. 5.74.7. ((Official)) "Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments; Submission As Paid Arguments.

Any person or entity submitting an argument for selection as a ((official)) "proponent's" or "opponent's" argument may separately submit the same as a paid argument, subject to the deadline and fee or signature requirements elsewhere provided in this Article. If an argument is selected as a ((official)) "proponent's" or "opponent's" argument, the Registrar shall return any printing fee already paid to the person or entity submitting the argument.

Section 2. Article VIII, Chapter 5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 5.79 thereof, to read as follows:

Sec. 5.79. Ballot Pamphlet; Contents. With respect to any election to be held in the City and County, the ballot pamphlet shall, in addition to any other material required by the Charter or by general law, contain:

(a) the statement of qualifications and the name and address of each sponsor of each candidate for an elective office of the City and County;

(b) the full text of each measure to be voted upon at said election;

(c) a copy of the arguments, if any, for or against each measure;

(d) a copy of the digest of each measure as prepared by the Committee;

(e) a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, its purpose and use, definitions of terms appearing therein, a summary of

basic voters' rights and a brief statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each elective office appearing therein; and

(f) art work, graphics and other material which the Registrar of Voters determines will make the ballot pamphlet easier to understand or more useful to the average voter.

(g) A description of the procedure that governs the submission, selection and placement of arguments in the ballot pamphlet, including a statement explaining that each person entitled to submit a "Proponent's" and an "Opponent's" argument is chosen pursuant to a priority list set down in San Francisco Administrative Code section 5.74.5.

(h) A disclaimer that neither the registrar of voters nor any other city agency or official checks or verifies the accuracy of information in arguments or candidates' statements of qualifications appearing in the voter pamphlet and an explanation that any person submitting a statement or an argument bears the sole responsibility for claims made therein.

Section 3. Article VIII, Chapter 5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 5.80 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 5.80. Contents as to Measures. The ballot pamphlet shall contain as to each measure to be voted upon, the following in the order set forth herein:

(a) Upon the top portion of the first page following the matter relating to candidates:

(1) the identification of the measure by letter and title;

(2) the digest prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee;

(3) the financial statement prepared by the Controller;

(4) a reference to the page containing the full text of the measure, and the arguments for or against said measure.

(b) Upon the top portion of the first page following the matter set forth in subsection (a) hereof, shall appear:

(1) the identification of the measure by letter and title;

(2) the full text of the measure; and

(3) the arguments for and against said measure.

The argument for shall precede the argument against said measure. In the event the argument for or against said measure is submitted by the Board of Supervisors, immediately following said argument shall appear a statement of which Supervisors voted for and which Supervisors voted against submitting said argument. In the event no argument for or no argument against any particular measure has been submitted with respect to any particular measure, that fact and nothing further shall be recited in the place otherwise reserved for such argument.

(c) Measures, and matters relating to said measures, shall be printed in the ballot pamphlet in the same order in which designated upon the ballot.

Approved as to Form:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 2, 1990	July 9, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Maher Ward	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
39-89-5.1

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-18 ORDINANCE NO. 262-90

RO #9309

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF EDWARD JOHN GLENN V. GRAHAM LORENZO, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL. FOR $7,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisc

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "EDWARD JOHN GLENN V. GRAHAM LORENZO, CITY AN COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL.," Superior Court No. 900-265 by the payment of $7,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for RICHARD J. EVANS, Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $7,000.00

9692w

MAY 30 1990

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 2, 1990	July 9, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-90-18

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 2 1990
SAN FRANCISCO

FILE NO. 45-90-28 ORDINANCE NO. 465-90

RO #9322

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S., ET AL.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $150,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Jerome Pittman arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $150,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $150,000.00

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

July 2, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hsieh

Finally Passed

July 9, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-90-28

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FILE NO. 45-90-32

ORDINANCE NO. 264-90

RO #9312

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM ARISING OUT OF U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., Et Al.; DAVIS, ET AL. v. C.C.S.F., NOS. C84-7089 MHP AND C84-1100 MHP (CONSOLIDATED) FOR $11,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Anthony Horn arising out of U.S.A. v. C.C.S.F., et al.; DAVIS, et al. v. C.C.S.F., Nos. C84-7089 MHP and C84-1100 MHP (Consolidated) by the payment of $11,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

GEORGE A. RILEY
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE COMMISSION

FREDERICK F. POSTEL
Chief OF Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
30773 1450 $11,000.00

JUN 0 1 1990

APPROVED:

SECRETARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto	§	Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Maher Nelder Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-32

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FILE NO. 45-90-34

ORDINANCE NO. 265-90

RO #9325

[Settlement of Claim]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF HOCKERSMITH v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO IN ACCORDANCE WITH SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled HOCKERSMITH v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al, San Francisco Superior Court No. 893-542, in accordance with the settlement agreement on file with the Clerk of the Board.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

JONATHAN V. HOLTZMAN
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

ALBERT C. WALKER
Assistant Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 30
332148 1450 $500,000.00
*****

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

July 2, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hsieh

Finally Passed

July 9, 1990

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-34

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 9 - 1990

PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-90-10 ORDINANCE NO. 266-90

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ANTOINETTE M. WILLIAMS V. SUSSIE Y. SAN WOO, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $12,400.25 AND COMPROMISE AND RELEASE EXECUTED BY ANTOINETTE M. WILLIAMS AND DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 889954 entitled "Antoinette M. Williams, Plaintiff, v. Sussie Y. San Woo, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of $12,400.25 from the defendants and an executed Compromise and Release from Antoinette M. Williams and dismissing complaint in intervention.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $12,400.25 and appropriate paperwork the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint in intervention.

APPROVED
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 2, 1990	July 9, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
46-90-10

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FILE NO. 46-90-11 ORDINANCE NO. 267-90

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF EDWARD J. CHOW, ET AL. V. JAMES LEE AND ANGIE LEE, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $12,500.00 AND DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 875944 entitled "Edward J. Chow, et al., Plaintiffs, v. James Lee and Angie Lee, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of $12,500.00 from the defendants and dismissing complaint in intervention.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $12,500.00 and appropriate paperwork the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint in intervention.

APPROVED
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-90-11

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FILE NO. 47-90-5 ORDINANCE NO. 268-90

(Parking Garage Lease)

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT REGARDING PARK AND RIDE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM; APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF SEVENTH AMENDMENT OF GOLDEN GATEWAY GARAGE LEASE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Parking and Traffic Department desires to implement a Park and Ride Demonstration Program at the Golden Gateway Garage to address problems of access and parking in the North Beach and Chinatown Neighborhood Commercial Districts. In order to implement said program, the City desires to enter into an Agreement with Golden Gate Parking Corporation, Lessee of the Golden Gateway Garage. A copy of said Agreement is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. The Agreement has been reviewed and considered by the Board of Supervisors and is hereby approved. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute and the Clerk is hereby directed to affix the seal of the City and to attest the Agreement in the form hereby approved.

Section 2. The Seventh Amendment of the Golden Gateway Garage Lease, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, would amend the lease of the Golden Gateway Garage to provide the following:

1) To extend the hours for the Golden Gateway Garage by providing for the garage to be open during the following additional days and hours:

Saturday 12 Midnight - 2 AM; 10 AM - 12 Midnight

Sunday 12 Midnight - 2 AM; 10 AM - 10 PM

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

2) To require Lessee to implement and operate a Park and Ride shuttle service as follows:

DAY	HOURS	MOTORIZED CABLE CAR	SHUTTLE BUS
Thursday	6 PM - 12 Midnight	6 HRS	
	6 PM - 12 Midnight		6 HRS
Friday	6 PM - 12 Midnight	6 HRS	
	6 PM - 2 AM		8 HRS
Saturday	6 PM - 12 Midnight	6 HRS	
	10 AM - 6 PM		8 HRS
	10 AM - 6 PM		8 HRS
	6 PM - 2 AM		8 HRS
Sunday	10 AM - 6 PM		8 HRS
	10 AM - 6 PM		8 HRS
	6 PM - 10 PM		4 HRS
Totals		18 Cable Car Hours	58 Shuttle Bus Hours

3) To require Lessee to provide marketing, advertising, public information and promotion of the Park and Ride Demonstration Program subject to direction and approval of the Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic.

4) The Park and Ride Demonstration Program shall operate for a six month period and Lessee shall be given a rent offset on the existing lease of Lessee's actual, documented costs of providing the services, not to exceed $150,000 for the six month demonstration period.

5) The Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic shall have authority to adjust the Saturday and Sunday hours of the garage and the Park and Ride shuttle service during the 6 month demonstration period in order to adapt

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

****

the program to the needs of the public and to test and collect data on different Park and Ride shuttle designs.

Section 3. The Seventh Amendment of the Golden Gate Parking Garage Lease has been reviewed and considered by the Board of Supervisors and is hereby approved. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute and the Clerk is hereby directed to affix the seal of the City and to attest the Seventh Amendment of the Golden Gateway Garage Lease in the form hereby approved.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Julian E. Goldstein
Deputy City Attorney

Director of Property

Rana Cutler
Director of Parking & Traffic

DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC

Page 3 of 3

9826w

06/11/90

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
47-90-5

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 48-90-15 ORDINANCE NO. 269-90

RO #9308

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF RHEINHART J. KAMPLIN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, ET AL. FOR $10,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "RHEINHART J. KAMPLIN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF OF SAN FRANCISCO, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, ET AL.", Superior Court No. 891-049, Claim of RHEINHART J. KAMPLIN, by the payment of $10,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for RICHARD J. EVANS
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $10,000.00

MAY 25 1990

APPROVED:

RUDOLF NOTHENBERG
Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
48-90-15

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FILE NO. 82-90-6 ORDINANCE NO. 270-90

[Termination of Easement]

AUTHORIZING QUITCLAIM OF CITY-OWNED AIR RIGHTS EASEMENT OVER LOT 22 BLOCK 326; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest is best served by the sale of a portion of the air rights over and above Lot 22 in Assessor's Block 326 to the owner of the underlying fee; said air rights are depicted on a map identified as "156 Ellis St.", Sheet No. R-1 dated April 18, 1990 labelled as Exhibit "A", a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Said air rights are not required for municipal purposes, and the sale of such air rights via a competitive bid process is impractical as they are of no substantial value to any other party than the owner of the underlying fee.

Section 3. The Department of City Planning reported on September 14, 1987 that the sale of certain air rights, subsequently conveyed to the underlying fee owner, to be in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1. Because said air rights proposed to be sold are required for life safety guard rail purposes by the Building and Fire Department codes, City Planning advises that the sale of said air rights is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 as referenced to the Department of City Planning's letter dated September 14, 1987.

Section 4. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Department of City Planning that the sale of said air rights is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 5. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of Property has determined the market value of said air rights to be $2,500. The owner of the underlying fee has offered to purchase said air rights for $2,500.

Section 6. The offer is hereby accepted and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property will deliver a quitclaim deed conveying said property rights to the underlying property owner.

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Julian E. Whitesell
Deputy City Attorney

1763

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 9 1990
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
82-90-6

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-10-11 ORDINANCE NO. 271-90

[Street Vacation]

ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF EAGLE STREET BETWEEN YUKON AND MONO STREETS, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On May 29, 1990, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 406-90, approved by the Mayor on June 6, 1990, being a Resolution of A Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of Eagle Street as shown on Department of Public Work's Drawing No. SUR-1088, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Director of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and the Director of Public Works did cause to be posted in the manner required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on July 2, 1990, beginning at 3:00 p.m., said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 406-90.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on January 10, 1989 that said vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, a copy of which report is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated January 10, 1989, that the vacation of said portion of Eagle Street is consistent with the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors has ordered said vacation subject to the conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order the said vacation.

Section 8. The said portion of Eagle Street is hereby ordered vacated.

Section 9. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and the Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED:

for Director of Public Works

Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Deputy City Attorney

Description Approved:

City Engineer

0834

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 9 1990

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 2, 1990	§	July 9, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy	§	Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward	§	Maher Nelder Walker Ward
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Britt	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
164-90-2.1

JUL 13 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-90-4.1 ORDINANCE NO. 272-90

(Zoning Change, 88.605Z)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PINE STREET AT THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF GOUGH STREET, LOTS 35, 33, 23 AND 15 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 665, FROM AN RH-3 (HOUSE, THREE-FAMILY) DISTRICT TO AN RM-4 (MIXED RESIDENTIAL, HIGH DENSITY) DISTRICT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors, adopting the final negative declaration as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
RH-3 (House, Three-Family)	RM-4 (Mixed Residential, High Density

Description of Property

Beginning at a point of the intersection of the southerly line of Pine Street and the easterly line of Gough Street; thence easterly 148.917 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 94 feet; thence at a right angle 29.833 feet easterly; thence at a right angle southerly 26 feet; thence at a right angle westerly along the northerly line of Austin Street 178.75 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet northerly along the easterly line of Gough Street to the point of beginning; and

Beginning at a point at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Bush Street and the easterly line of Gough Street; thence easterly 147.5 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of Austin Street; thence at a right angle westerly 147.5 feet; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly line of Gough Street 120 feet to the point of beginning;

Being all of Lots 35, 33, 23 and 15 in Assessor's Block 665; and adjacent street areas to their centerlines.

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the Code, this Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 11747 approving findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By Judith A. Baxjian
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

RWP:BP:bnp/951

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 9, 1990	§	July 16, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher Hongisto	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
36-90-4.1

JUL 20 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

FILE NO. 60-90-14

ORDINANCE NO. 273-90

(Special Election)

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1990, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR THE FOLLOWING BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: SEVENTY-THREE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ($73,800,000) FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF A YOUTH GUIDANCE CENTER; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT TWO MILLION DOLLARS ($2,000,000) BE SET ASIDE FOR THE ACQUISITION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF COMMUNITY BASED FACILITIES; SIXTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ($16,500,000) FOR CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES TO BE CONSTRUCTED OR RECONSTRUCTED PARTIALLY FROM STATE GRANTS AND PARTIALLY FROM MATCHING FUNDS FROM THIS BOND ISSUE; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; RECITING THE ESTIMATED COSTS OF SUCH MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS; FIXING THE DATE OF ELECTION AND THE MANNER OF HOLDING SUCH ELECTION AND THE PROCEDURE FOR VOTING FOR OR AGAINST THE PROPOSITIONS; FIXING THE MAXIMUM RATE OF INTEREST ON SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF; PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION; CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL ELECTION; AND PROVIDING THAT THE ELECTION PRECINCTS, VOTING PLACES AND OFFICERS FOR ELECTION SHALL BE THE SAME AS FOR SUCH GENERAL ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1990, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

YOUTH GUIDANCE CENTER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1990, $73,800,000 to pay the cost of construction or reconstruction of a Youth Guidance Center; provided, however, that Two Million Dollars ($2,000,000) be set aside for the acquisition and reconstruction of community based facilities, including the acquisition and construction of the facilities and all other works, property and structures necessary or convenient for such additions and improvements to the Youth Guidance Center of the City and County of San Francisco.

CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1990, $16,500,000 to pay for correctional facilities to be constructed or reconstructed partially from state grants and partially from matching funds from bonds to be issued under this proposition, including related acquisition, construction or reconstruction necessary or convenient for the foregoing purpose; and all other works, property and structures necessary or convenient for such additions and improvements to various correctional facilities of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated costs of each of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolutions and in the amount specified:

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 1990

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Youth Guidance Center System Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 539-90, $73,800,000; Correctional Facilities Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 503-90, $16,500,000.

That said resolutions were passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, and in each said resolution it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts not to exceed the principal amounts specified.

Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolutions are hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Election of the City and County of San Francisco to be held Tuesday, November 6, 1990, and the

voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said General Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the General Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or no later than October 12, 1990.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the punch card ballots used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballot as a separate proposition:

"YOUTH GUIDANCE CENTER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1990. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $73,800,000 for the construction and reconstruction of a Youth Guidance Center of the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that $2,000,000 be set aside for the acquisition and reconstruction of community based facilities."

"CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1990. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $16,500,000 to pay for correctional facilities to be constructed or reconstructed partially from state grants and partially from matching funds from bonds to be issued under this proposition."

Each voter to vote for said any of propositions hereby submitted and in favor of the issuance of the Bonds, shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against the issuance of the Bonds shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. On absent voters ballots, the cross (X) may be marked with pen or pencil.

If and to the extent that punch card ballot cards are used at said special election, each voter to vote for any said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "YES" to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on the proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 12 per centum per annum, payable semiannually, provided, that interest for the first year after the date of any of said bonds may be payable at or before the end of that year.

The votes cast for and against said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors, voting on such propositions, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

///

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Section 9. The appropriate officers, employees, representatives and agents of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized and directed to do everything necessary or desirable to the calling and holding of said special election, and to otherwise carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Robert A. Renealey
ROBERT A. RENEALEY
Assistant Chief Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 16, 1990	§	July 23, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Ward
	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

JUL 24 1990

Date Approved

John Taylor
Clerk

Mayor

File No.
60-90-14

FILE NO. 45-90-33

ORDINANCE NO. 274-90

RO ##9324

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF LARRY D. HALLUM AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $7,550.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "LARRY D. HALLUM v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Municipal Court No. 033-253, by the payment of $7,550.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 31
309773 1450 $7,550.00

JUN 06 1990

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-90-33

JUL 24 1990

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-35

ORDINANCE NO. 275-90

RO #9326

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GALEN L. SCOTT v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "GALEN L. SCOTT v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", United States District Court No. C88 0?3 WWS, by the payment of $10,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

JONATHAN V. HOLTZMAN
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

MICHAEL LANGER
Director, OCC

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

FUNDS AVAILABLE

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 3[illegible]
.309781 1450 $10,000.00

JUN 08 1990

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 9 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-35

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-36

ORDINANCE NO. 276-90

RO #9327

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MICHAEL ROMELOTTI AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $7,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Michael Romelotti v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.," Superior Court No. 879-434, by the payment of $7,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL COURT

GORDON PARK-LI
Clerk Administrator

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 11
309757 1450 $7,500.00

JUN 20 1990

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-90-36

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-37

ORDINANCE NO. 277-90

RO #9328

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GARY SICABAIG v. SYLVIA BUNSHOFT AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "GARY SICABAIG v. SYLVIA BUNSHOFT, et al." (Superior Court No. 855-239), by the payment of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars ($7,500.00).

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAMUEL D. YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $7,500.00

JUN 27 1990

APPROVED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

WILLIE FRAZIER
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 16, 1990	§	July 23, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-90-37

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-90-14 ORDINANCE NO. 278-90

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF GARY LYNN PIERCE V. JOEL CASTILLO BRAGA, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $9,885.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Mateo Superior Court No. 282714 entitled "Gary Lynn Pierce, Plaintiff, v. Joel Castillo Braga, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of $9,885.00.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $9,885.00 and appropriate paperwork the City Attorney is authorized to settle said lawsuit.

APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 9 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-90-14

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-90-15 ORDINANCE NO. 279-90

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF THOMAS J. PADDEN V. WILBUR WONG, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $23,000.00 AND DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 898963 entitled "Thomas J. Padden, Plaintiff, v. Wilbur Wong, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of $23,000.00 from the defendants and dismissing complaint.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $23,000.00 and appropriate paperwork the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

APPROVED
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 19

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
46-90-15

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-90-16 ORDINANCE NO. 280-90

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LOUISE C. SENATORE, ET AL. V. RUDOLPH IGNATIUS DONATI, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $38,333.33 LESS COSTS AND DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 878722 entitled "Louise C. Senatore, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Rudolph Ignatius Donati, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of $38,333.33 less costs from the defendants and dismissing complaint.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $38,333.33 less costs and appropriate paperwork the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

APPROVED
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By _____
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 9 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
46-90-16

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 96-90-2

ORDINANCE NO. 281-90

RO #90016

[Sale of Property]

AUTHORIZING SALE OF SURPLUS CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and necessity require the sale of City-owned Lot 97 in Assessor's Block 4093, as shown on the map, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. That portion of Lot 97 designated as Parcel A, containing approximately 611 square feet, will be sold to its adjoining owner; and that portion of Lot 97 designated as Parcel B, containing approximately 837 square feet, will be sold to its adjoining owner.

Section 2. The Department of Public Works has determined that said property is surplus to their needs and no longer required for municipal purposes.

Section 3. The Department of City Planning has reported on May 1, 1990, that the sale of the subject property is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and the Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the findings of consistency with the eight priority policies as set forth in the Department of City Planning's letter dated May 1, 1990, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The Department of City Planning has restricted the use of the subject property so that it may be used for open space only.

Section 5. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of Property has made an appraisal of the value of said parcels. The adjoining owners have offered to purchase said parcels for $2,500 each, which sum represents the appraised fair market value. The Director of Property has also determined that said parcels are of use only to the adjoining owners, and as such, recommends a direct sale.

Section 6. The offer of said adjoining owners is hereby accepted and the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property deliver a deed to each adjoining owner upon receipt of the purchase price.

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Property

Director of Public Works

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Julian E. Kulstad
Deputy City Attorney

1363/avd

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
96-90-2

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-90-31 ORDINANCE NO. 282-90

[Rent Board Revolving Fund]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.169-8 TO ESTABLISH A REVOLVING FUND FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION AND ARBITRATION BOARD.

Note: The entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.169-8 thereto reading as follows:

SEC. 10.169-8 CASH REVOLVING FUNDS - RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION AND ARBITRATION BOARD; $500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 0 1990

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-31

OCT 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 7/11/90

FILE NO. 97-90-34 ORDINANCE NO. 283-90

(Special Chinatown Open Space Fund)

AMENDING CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 10.203 THEREOF TO ALLOW ALLOCATION OF THE FUND FOR MAINTENANCE, TO REQUIRE ANNUAL REPORTING BY THE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, TO REQUIRE THE VOTE OF A QUORUM OF EACH COMMISSION INSTEAD OF A QUORUM OF BOTH COMMISSIONS, TO PROVIDE TO BE APPLIED TO THE GENERAL FUND, FOR INTEREST ~~ACCRUING TO THE FUND;~~ AND CORRECTING REFERENCES TO A CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION AND TO A SECTIONAL MAP.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

DOCUMENTS DEPT
AUG 9 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amending amended by ~~adding~~ Section 10.203 thereto, to read as follows:

Section 10.203. Chinatown Open Space Fund.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a special Fund ~~fund~~ in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco called the Chinatown Open Space Fund. All monies collected by the Controller pursuant to this Section shall be deposited in the Fund. All monies deposited in the Fund shall be used for the purpose of acquiring, improving and/or maintaining open space resources and park land and park facilities as defined in Section 135.1 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code), which is expected to be used solely or in substantial part by persons who live, work, shop or otherwise do business in the Chinatown Mixed Use Districts as defined in ((Section 102.4)) Sections 810.1, 811.1, 812.1 of the City Planning Code and as identified on Sectional Map ((3SU)) 1 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco. The Controller's Office shall file an annual report with the Board of Supervisors, which shall set forth the amount of money collected in the Fund.

(b) Utilization of Fund. Said special Fund ~~fund~~ shall be used solely for, and the monies therein are hereby appropriated exclusively for, the purpose of such acquisition, improvement, maintenance and related expenses including the planning, design and engineering of specific projects. Expenditures from the Fund shall be for facilities located within the Chinatown Mixed Use Districts.

(c) (1) Administration of Fund. The Fund shall be administered jointly by the Recreation and Park Commission and the City Planning Commission. The two Commissions shall conduct business related to their duties under this section at joint public hearings, which hearings may be inititiated by either the Recreation and Park Commission or the City Planning Commission. Recommendations shall be made annually for expenditures from this Fund ~~fund~~ by the General Manager of the Recreation and Parks ~~Park~~ Department in consultation with the Department of City Planning and presented to both Commissions at a joint public hearing to elicit public comment on proposals for the acquisition of, improvement or maintenance of property using monies in the Fund. Notice of any joint public hearings shall be published in an official newspaper at least 20 days prior to the date of the hearing, which notice shall set forth the time, place and purpose of the hearing. The hearing may be continued to a later date by a majority vote of the members of both Commissions present at the hearing. At a joint public hearing, a quorum of the membership of ((both)) each Commission((s)) may vote to allocate the monies in the Fund ~~fund~~ for acquisition of property and/or for the development, improvement or maintenance of of property as established in section 10.203(a), above, including property, such as an alleyway, which may not always be appropriate as a Recreation and Park property.

Any unexpended ~~unexpected~~ balance remaining in said Fund at the close of any fiscal year, ~~including interest earned on Fund monies,~~ shall be deemed to have been provided for a special purpose within the meaning of Section 6.306 of the Charter and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said special Fund ~~fund~~ for the purposes recited in this section. Any balance remaining from interest earned on fund monies shall be applied to the General Fund.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Judith A. Bayajian
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
DEAN L. MACRIS
Director of Planning

Dean L. Macris

APPROVED:

Samuel D. Yockey
Sam Yockey
Controller

SS:422

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-90-34

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-89-58 ORDINANCE NO. 284-90

(Leases of Property)

AMENDING SECTION 23.19 OF CHAPTER 23 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO INCREASE THE DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY'S AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE LEASES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY AS LESSEE TO LEASES NOT EXCEEDING A ONE YEAR TERM WITH RENT NOT EXCEEDING $1000 PER MONTH; AMENDING SECTION 23.22 OF CHAPTER 23 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO INCREASE THE DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY'S AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE LEASES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY AS LESSOR TO LEASES NOT EXCEEDING A ONE YEAR TERM WITH RENT NOT EXCEEDING $1000 PER MONTH.

[Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).]

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 23.19 of Chapter 23 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 23.19. ((MONTH-TO-MONTH)) YEAR TO YEAR AND SHORTER LEASES. Where the rental to be paid for such property within the City and County of San Francisco is (($500)) $1000 or less per month, the Director of Property may execute a written ((month-to-month)) lease on behalf of the City and County as lessee for a year to year or shorter tenancy. ((Where the rental to be paid for such property is one dollar per month or less, the Director of Property may execute a written year-to-year lease on behalf of the City and County as lessee.)) Where the term of a lease is less than one month, the Director of Property may execute a written lease on behalf of the City and County as lessee.

The Director of Property may include therein an appropriate clause holding the lessor harmless from and agreeing to defend the lessor against any claim or liability for an injury or damage to any person or property resulting from the City and County's use of such property, subject, however, to the written approval of the City

Attorney and the head of the department concerned. The Director of Property shall determine the rental to be paid for all such property and shall obtain from the Controller written certification that funds are available for such lease. Such leases shall remain in force until the ((property is vacated by the City and County or until revoked.)) lease is terminated. The department concerned shall give written notice to the Director of Property when such leases shall be ((revoked)) terminated by the City and County.

Section 2. Section 23.22 of Chapter 23 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 23.22. AUTHORITY OF DIRECTOR TO LEASE PROPERTY WITH RENTAL VALUE LESS THAN ((FIVE HUNDRED)) ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS; DETERMINATION OF VALUE.

When any department in charge of city-owned real property requests the Director of Property to lease, on a ((month-to-month)) year to year or shorter ((basis)) tenancy, city-owned land or improvements having a rental value of ((five hundred)) one thousand dollars or less per month and reports to the Director of Property that the property is not required for the purposes of the department, the director is hereby authorized and directed to lease such property to the highest responsible bidder on a ((month-to-month)) year to year or shorter ((basis)) tenancy. The Director of Property shall determine the rental value of such property and is hereby authorized to execute such leases in behalf of the City and County. Where, in the opinion of the Director of Property, the leasing of such property for landscaping or gardening serves a public purpose, the Director of Property may lease such property at a nominal rental, on a ((month-to-month basis)) year to year or shorter tenancy, and on such other terms and conditions as ((he)) the Director may require.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this section shall be collected by the Director of Property and deposited in the city treasury to the credit of the proper fund. Within ten days after awarding the lease, the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.

The provisions of this section shall not apply to the leasing of City and County Water Department lands for agricultural purposes.

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Deputy City Attorney

8877w

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 16, 1990	July 23, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 97-89-58

JUL 24 1990

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO. 205-90

SALARIES AND WAGES ORIGINAL SAL. ORD. AMEND NO. 53

FIXING AND DETERMINING RATES OF COMPENSATION TO BE PAID MUNICIPAL OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES, WHOSE OFFICES AND POSITIONS ARE ALLOCATED TO CLASSES SPECIFIED HEREIN AND COMPENSATION FOR WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.405 OF THIS CHARTER PROVIDING THAT SAID RATES OF COMPENSATION SHALL BE EFFECTIVE BEGINNING MAY 26, 1990 REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 169-90 .

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Adoption of rates of compensation subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter - pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, the rates of compensation specified herein shall be paid beginning May 26, 1990, as provided in said Section 8.405 of the Charter, to officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who are occupying positions designated as or allocated to the various classifications of employment specified herein, compensations for which are subject to the provisions of said Section 8.405 of the Charter.

Section 2. Application of rates of compensation -- employees legally holding offices and positions in the classifications hereinafter set forth, the compensation for which are subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, as aforesaid, shall be paid May 26, 1990 as provided in said Section 8.405 of the Charter, the amount set forth herein for the classification in which the respective offices or positions are classified or allocated.

Section 3. The schedules of compensation composing the rates of pay for the respective classifications subject to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter are set forth in Sections 4 and 5 hereof.

Section 4. Police Service

		BI-WEEKLY COMP.	APPROX. MONTHLY
0390	Chief of Police	$4343	$9410
0400	Deputy Chief	3654	7917
0488	Commander	2969	6433
0460	Secretary, Police Commission	2139	4635
0380	Inspector	1871	4054
Q 80	Captain	2511	5441
Q 63	Criminologist	2511	5441
Q 60	Lieutenant	2139	4635
Q 50	Sergeant	1871	4054

Section 4. Police Service (cont'd)

		BI-WEEKLY COMP.	APPROX.. MONTHLY
Q 35	Assistant Inspector	$1732	$3753
	(with 2 years of service)	1871	4054
0520	Police Surgeon	1614	3497
Q 20	Policewoman....1st year	1394	3020
	2nd year	1464	3172
	3rd year	1538	3332
	4th year	1614	3497
Q 2	Police Officer....1st year	1394	3020
	2nd year	1464	3172
	3rd year	1538	3332
	4th year	1614	3497

Members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty receive, $107 biweekly ($232 monthly) additional compensation.

Section 5. Fire Service

		BI-WEEKLY COMP.	APPROX.. MONTHLY
0140	Chief of Department	$4343	9410
0145	Assistant Deputy Chief	3653	7915
0150	Deputy Chief of Department	3654	7917
0155	Secretary to Chief of Department	2874	6227
H 51	Assistant Deputy Chief II	2970	6435
H 50	Assistant Chief of Department	2969	6433
H 40	Battalion Chief	2568	5564
H 39	Captain, Division of Training	2567	5562
H 30	Captain	2139	4635
H 29	Special Services Officer	2138	4632
H 28	Lieutenant, Division of Training	2138	4632
H 20	Lieutenant	1871	4054
H 19	Airport Training Officer	1870	4052
H 18	Coordinator of Community Services	1870	4052
H 17	Medical Coordinator	1870	4052
H 16	Technical Training Assistant, Fire Dept	1870	4052
H 10	Chief's Operator	1757	3807
H 32	Captain, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	2418	5238
H 22	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	2114	4581
H 24	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Investigation	2114	4581
H 6	Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation	1933	4188
H 4	Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	1933	4188
H 2	Firefighter....1st year	1394	3020
	2nd year	1464	3172
	3rd year	1538	3332
	4th year	1614	3497
H110	Marine Engineer of Fireboats	2139	4635
H120	Pilot of Fireboat	2139	4635

Section 6. Placement Above The Entrance Rate.

Upon notice to the Civil Service Commission by the appointing officer, the reinstatement or reappointment of a former permanent member of the uniformed

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SEP 11 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

forces of the Police or Fire Department, following resignation with services satisfactory, may be made at the step in the respective salary range the returning uniformed member enjoyed immediately prior to resignation.

Section 7. Holidays.

Members of the uniformed force of the Police Department shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed in the schedules of compensation adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.401 of the Charter as additional days off with pay. Members shall be compensated on the basis of time and one-half as computed pursuant to Charter Section 8.451 or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay at the rate of time and one-half as requested by the member. Members of the uniformed force of the Police Department working a five-day work week other than Monday through Friday shall be allowed another day off if a holiday falls on one of their regularly scheduled days off. Employees whose holidays are changed because of shift rotations shall be allowed another day off if a legal holiday falls on one of their days off.

If the provisions of this section deprive an employee of the same number of holidays that an employee receives who works Monday through Friday, he/she shall be granted additional days off to equal such number of holidays. The designation of such days off shall be by mutual agreement of the employee and the appropriate supervisor with the approval of the appointing officer. In no event shall the provisions of this section result in such employee receiving more or less holidays than an employee on a Monday thru Friday work schedule.

Section 8. Police Night Differential.

Any member of the uniformed force of the Police Department who actually performs services between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. shall be entitled to an additional 6.25% of the compensation otherwise payable for base pay therefor for all such hours worked; provided, however, that such additional compensation shall not be included for purposes of retirement benefit calculation or contributions provided elsewhere in the Charter.

Section 9. Overtime Compensation for Uniformed Members of the Police and Fire Departments.

Members who request compensation by payment for overtime worked shall be paid within fourteen (14) days after the end of the pay period during which said overtime was worked. Members who request equivalent time off duty for overtime worked shall have their compensatory time account adjusted within fourteen (14) days after the end of the pay period during which said overtime was worked.

Section 10. Savings Clause.

If it shall be determined by any court of competent jurisidiction that any salary or wage rate schedule of compensation fixed herein, or any provision hereof, is contrary to the provisions of Section 8.405 of the Charter, or of any provisions of the Charter or Law, such determination shall not affect the validity of any other salary wage or rate of compensation or provisions in this ordinance.

Section 11. The rate of compensation provided for in said Sections 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall become effective May 26, 1990.

Section 12. Ordinance No. 169-90 is hereby repealed.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: BSLA
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 16, 1990	§	July 23, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Maher Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
	§	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

JUL 24 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
105-89-3

FILE NO. 154-89-3.1 ORDINANCE NO. 286-90

(Contract Amendment - Probation Officers and Juvenile Hall Counselors)

AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO RELATING TO RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN CITY EMPLOYEES.

NOTE: The entire ordinance is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The amendment to the contract between the BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM and the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ("City and County") regarding employees of various departments in the Probation Officers and Juvenile Hall counselors classification, kg 6/12 which amendment is fully set forth in File No. ~~348-87-1~~ 154-89-3.1 of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby authorized and approved, provided that said amendment and transfer is prospective only and creates no liability to PERS for prior service which remains with the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The President of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute said amendment for and on behalf of the City and County provided that said amendment and transfer is prospective only and creates no liability to PERS for prior service which remains with the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3 This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after the date of its enactment, and within five (5) days of the passage thereof the title shall be published at least once in the official San Francisco ~~Progress, a~~ newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City and County, and thereafter this ordinance shall be in full force and effect. kg 6/12

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Dan May 11/24/89
Deputy City Attorney

1995A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 07

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
June 18, 1990	§	July 23, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

JUL 24 1990

Clerk

File No.
154-89-3.1

JUL 24 1990

Date Approved

Mayor

As amended in Board 7/25/90

FILE NO. 101-90-2 ORDINANCE NO. 287-90

CONSOLIDATED BUDGET

and

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1991

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-90-2 ORDINANCE NO. 288-90

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR 1990-91

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 0
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 121-90-3 ORDINANCE NO. 289-90

[Charitable Solicitation]

AMENDING ARTICLE 9.6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 660, 660.1, 660.2, 660.3, 660.4 AND 660.14 THEREOF AND ADDING SECTIONS 660.4-1, 660.4-2 AND 660.14-1 THERETO, RELATING TO REGULATING THE SALES AND SOLICITATION ACTIVITIES OF CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS.

Note: Additions are underlined. Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses.))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 9.6 of the San Francisco Police Code is amended by amending sections 660, 660.1, 660.2, 660.3, 660.4 AND 660.14 thereof and adding sections 660.4-1, 660.4-2 AND 660.14-1 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 660. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE. (a) The primary purpose of the public streets and sidewalks is for use by vehicular and pedestrian traffic; however, state and federal courts have determined that sidewalks are also traditional public fora for the exchange of ideas and views protected by our federal and state constitutions.

(b) The sale of goods, products and services with its corresponding inquiry regarding the quality and price of goods, ((bartering)) bargaining over that price, and exchange of goods, products and services for consideration, by its nature has created greater problems with regard to use of the public sidewalk than the traditional solicitation of contributions by charitable organizations. In particular, the unregulated sale of goods, products and services on the public sidewalks by charitable organizations and persons soliciting by sales on behalf of charitable organizations has caused congestion and potential use of force that threatens the safety of pedestrians attempting to use the sidewalks and public transit for their primary purpose. It has also interfered with pedestrian access to private residences, Municipal Railway, cable car and bus zones, and places of business. One of the primary causes of these problems is the sales activities on the public sidewalks of commercial enterprises who represent that part of their profits will go to a charitable organization.

(c) Reasonable regulation of sidewalk sales activities ((solicitation)) is necessary to protect the public safety, health, welfare, as well as the substantial esthetic interest in preserving the beauty, solitude and viewing areas of certain parts of the City. The sales activities of charitable organizations pose esthetic problems different from and greater than those presented by street artists and other sidewalk peddlers. Streets artists sell goods of their own making. Thus, street artists offer a variety of goods, and the volume of their merchandise is limited. On the other hand, almost without exception, those selling on behalf of charitable organizations peddle t-shirts and sweatshirts. The presence on the sidewalk of tables piled high with sweatshirts and t-shirts is much more visually offensive than the sale of jewelry and other items sold by street artists and other sidewalk vendors. Indeed, the sales activities of charitable organizations have had the effect of converting many areas of the City into permanent flea markets.

(d) The City repeatedly has sought to address the problems created by the ((unregulated)) sales activities of charitable solicitors by means less restrictive than those set out in this legislation, including restrictions on where charitable sales activities may take place and the type of information that charitable organizations must disclose. These less restrictive measures have failed to alleviate adequately the problems caused by

DOCUMENTS DEPT. AUG SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

the sales activities of charitable organizations. In particular, the current locational regulations, such as the amount of sidewalk space that must be left for pedestrian passage, the sales of merchandise adjacent to unloading and bus zones, and the space between persons engaged in charitable sales, have proved ineffective to adequately protect public health and safety. These locational restrictions were initially intended to apply to the sale of books, pamphlets and other purely communicative items. Experience has taught that the safety problems created by the sales of sweatshirts and other commercial merchandise by charitable organizations are greater than those caused by other sidewalk vending or that would be caused by the sales of purely communicative items. Sidewalk sweatshirt vending by charitable organizations consistently draws larger crowds of prospective buyers than does sidewalk sales by street artists and other peddlers. Therefore, the safety concerns associated with charitable sales are different from those posed by other vendors. Accordingly, different regulations are needed. In particular, sales activities on the building-side of sidewalks, with their attendant crowds, frequently force pedestrians to walk in the street, which endangers those pedestrians.

(e) The San Francisco Street Artists Program, established by a mandate of the people of the City and County of San Francisco with the adoption of Proposition L on November 4, 1975, is an essential component of this City's effort to support the work of its resident artisans. Permitting the sale of merchandise in spaces designated as Street Artists spaces by persons other than Street Artists tends to confuse the public regarding the nature of the products sold and adversely affects the credibility of the Street Artists Program.

(f) The City and County of San Francisco has a duty to safeguard its citizens from fraudulent solicitors. Like other

communities, San Francisco has traditionally been plagued with persons defrauding its citizens by falsely representing that they are soliciting contributions for charitable purposes. The most effective method for protecting citizens from fraudulent solicitors is to require full disclosure to the public of information regarding the operations and purposes of persons soliciting for charitable purposes and to ensure that the information disclosed is truthful.

(g) The City also has a duty to preserve the visual quality of its sidewalks, parks and plazas, prevent the obstruction of public viewing areas, and preserve the tranquility and special ambience of areas that have been created to provide members of the public with zones of peace, quiet and solitude. There are areas of the City, such as Twin Peaks and portions of the Fisherman's Wharf area and parks, where the Board finds that sales activities, whether conducted by charitable organizations or for-profit entities, are inconsistent with these esthetic qualities as well as public safety. The Board also finds that because of the unique nature of City parks, the regulation of sales activities in parks is better left to the Recreation and Parks Commission.

(h) In adopting these amendments, the Board of Supervisors is aware that a federal appellate court has enjoined the enforcement of Section 660.2(i) of this Article with respect to the sale of items that are inextricably intertwined with a political, ideological, religious or philosophical message. The Board is also aware that the City is pursuing a further appeal of this ruling. The amendments to this Article are in no way intended as a substitute for the City's appeal. Rather, these amendments are intended to reduce somewhat the problems caused by the sales activities of charitable organizations, pending a resolution of the City's appeal.

SEC. 660.1 DEFINITIONS. Whenever used in this Article unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

(a) "Association" shall mean any unincorporated organization or group of individuals sharing a common purpose or common purposes.

(b) "Charitable Organization" shall mean and include any person who, or any nonprofit community organization, fraternal, benevolent, educational, philanthropic, or service organization, or governmental employee organization which, solicits or obtains contributions solicited from the public for charitable purposes of or holds any assets solely for charitable purposes.

(c)(1) "Charitable Purpose" shall mean religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, educational, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, and all other purposes recognized as charitable under common law.

(c)(2) "Charitable Purpose" shall not be construed to include solicitations primarily for the direct benefit of the ((person)) individual making the solicitation.

(d) "Contribution" shall mean and include the words alms, food, clothing, money, subscription or property. "Contributions" shall also mean donations under the guise of a loan of money or property.

(e) "Department" shall mean the San Francisco Police Department.

(f) "Person" shall mean any individual, partnership, corporation, or association.

(g) "Public Sidewalk" shall mean all improved sidewalks owned or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco.

(h) "Solicit," "solicitation" and "solicitation for a charitable purpose" shall mean any request, plea, entreaty, demand, or invitation, or attempt thereof, to give money or property,

((which)) that occurs on the streets, sidewalks, or parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco in connection with which:

(1) Any appeal is made for charitable purposes; or

(2) The name of any charity, philanthropic or charitable organization is used or referred to in any such appeal as an inducement for making any such gift; or

(3) Any statement is made to the effect that such gift or any part thereof will go to or be used for any charitable purpose or organization.

(i) "Sales solicitation for a charitable purpose" shall mean the sale of, offer to sell, or attempt to sell, or the solicitation or receipt of money in exchange in whole or part for, any advertisement, advertising space, book, card, change, coupon device, magazine subscription, membership, merchandise, ticket or admission or any other thing or service or other consideration, which occurs on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with which:

(1) Any appeal is made for charitable purposes; or

(2) The name of any charity or ((,)) philanthropic ((or charitable)) organization is used or referred to in any such appeal as an inducement for making any such sale; or

(3) Any statement is made to the effect that the whole or any part of the proceeds from such sale will go to or be used for any charitable purpose or organization.

(j) "Agent" shall mean any person authorized by any other person or organization to transact some business, manage some affair, or perform any other act, on behalf of that person or organization.

(k) "Commercial fundraiser" shall mean any individual,

corporation, or other legal entity who qualifies as a commercial fundraiser for charitable purposes as defined in California Government Code Section 12599(a).

SEC. 660.2. UNLAWFUL SOLICITATION. (a) No charitable organization may solicit, directly or through an agent or employee, any contribution for any charitable purpose or conduct any sales solicitation for charitable purposes activity on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco unless((, prior to each 180 day period during which solicitations for contributions will be conducted,)) such charitable organization shall have first obtained a Certificate of Registration from the Chief of Police or his or her designate, as hereinafter provided, or has received a receipt from the Department indicating that the organization has filed a Statement of Registration and is authorized to solicit pending issuance by the Department of a Certificate of Registration.

(b) No individual, as agent or employee of another, ((may)) shall solicit contributions for a charitable purpose on the streets, sidewalks, parks or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco unless the charitable organization on whose behalf the individual is soliciting has received a Certificate of Registration or has received a receipt from the Department indicating that the organization has filed a Statement of Registration and is authorized to solicit pending issuance by the Department of a Certificate of Registration.

(c) No individual ((may)) shall engage in sales solicitations for a charitable purpose on the streets, sidewalks((, parks)) or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco without visibly displaying an identification card issued to that individual by the Chief of Police or his or her designate as

hereinafter provided. This provision shall not be enforced with respect to a charitable organization during the period after the organization has obtained a receipt indicating that the organization has filed a Statement of Registration and before the issuance to the organization of a Certificate of Registration.

(d)(1) No individual ((may)) shall solicit for a charitable purpose or engage in sales solicitation for a charitable purpose on the streets, sidewalks ((, parks)) or other property under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, unless, upon request from the person solicited for information about the organization, the solicitor shall ((clearly and visibly display and have available for distribution to the prospective donor or purchaser)) provide to the person a card entitled "Solicitation for Charitable Purposes Card." The card shall be of a size to be prescribed by the Chief of Police or his or her designate, signed and dated under penalty of perjury by an individual who is a principal or officer of the charitable organization on whose behalf the solicitation is made.

The information on the card shall be printed in at least 10-point type and shall include the following:

(A) The name and address of the charitable organization on whose behalf the money will be collected.

(B) The name of the individual soliciting on behalf of the charitable organization.

(C) The number of the Certificate of Registration issued to the organization by the Chief of Police.

(D) If there is no charitable organization, the manner in which the money collected will be utilized for charitable purposes.

(E) The percentage of the purchase price that will be used for charitable purposes.

(F) If the solicitation is not a sales solicitation, the card may state, in place of the amount of fund raising expenses, that an audited financial statement of such expenses may be obtained by contacting the organization at the address disclosed.

(G) Whether the charitable organization on whose behalf the solicitation is made is tax exempt under state and federal law.

(H) The percentage of the total gift or purchase price which may be deducted as a charitable contribution under both federal and state law. If no portion is so deductible the card shall state that "This contribution is not tax deductible."

(I) If the charitable organization making the solicitation represents any non-governmental organization by any name which includes, but is not limited to, the term, "officer," "peace officer," "police," "law enforcement," "reserve officer," "deputy," or "deputy sheriff," which would reasonably be understood to imply that the organization is composed of law enforcement personnel, the solicitor shall give the total number of members in the organization and the number of members working or living within the county where the solicitation is being made, and if the solicitation is for advertising, the statewide circulation of the publication in which the solicited ad will appear.

(d)(2) No individual shall engage ((Any person engaged)) in sales solicitation for a charitable purpose by means of selling goods, products or services from a stationary display on the streets or sidewalks or other places held open to the public unless ((shall)), in addition to the requirements of Subsection (d)(1), the individual displays a sign which shall contain the name and address of the person on whose behalf the charitable solicitation is being made, and the fact that more information about the charitable organization is available upon request. This sign also shall state

that a receipt must be provided to the person solicited for any sales transaction of $5.00 or more and that for sales transactions of less than $5.00, a receipt will be provided upon request. ((the purpose of the solicitation, whether the organization is tax exempt under state or federal law, and the percentage of the money collected that will be utilized for charitable purposes)). The sign shall be two feet by two feet square and shall be printed in black 36 point bold face print on a white background.

(d)(3) A volunteer who received no compensation of any type from, or in connection with, a solicitation for a charitable organization which has qualified for a tax exemption under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and who is 15 years of age or younger, is not required to make any disclosures pursuant to this Section.

(d)(4) When the solicitation is not a sales solicitation, an individual ((volunteer who receives no compensation of any type from, or in connection with a solicitation by any charitable organization)) may comply with the disclosure provisions of Section 660.2(d)(1) by providing in writing the name and address of the charitable organization on behalf of which all or any part of the money collected will be utilized for charitable purposes, the charitable purposes for which the solicitation is made and that the information about revenues and expenses of such organization, including its administration and fundraising costs, may be obtained by contacting the organization's office at the address disclosed. Such organization shall provide such information to the person solicited immediately upon receipt of the request.

(e) No person, other than the Chief of Police or his or her designate, may alter any identification card or certificate of registration.

(f) No ((person)) individual ((may)) shall engage in a sales solicitation for charitable purposes while displaying an identification card issued by the Chief of Police or his or her designate in the name of another individual.

(g) No ((person)) individual ((may)) shall solicit for charitable purposes or engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes from any vehicle.

(h) No ((person)) individual ((may)) shall engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes by means of selling goods, products, services or merchandise on the public sidewalks:

(1) Within five feet of any of the following:

(((a) A person already soliciting pursuant to a Certificate of Registration issued under this Article.))

(((b))) (a) A space designated by the Public Works Department of the City and County of San Francisco as a street artist space pursuant to Article 24 of the San Francisco Police Code. For the purposes of this Subsection, the five feet shall be measured from the outer edges of the street artist space in each direction parallel to the building side of the space and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curbside and building side of the sidewalk.

(((c))) (b) A person peddling goods, products or services pursuant to a permit issued under Article 17.3 or Article 13 of the San Francisco Police Code, Article 5 of the San Francisco Public Works Code, or any other provision of the San Francisco Charter or San Francisco Municipal Code.

(((d))) (c) A crosswalk.

(((e))) (d) A fire hydrant.

(((f))) (e) The end of a fire escape.

(2) Within eight feet of a person already engaged in sales solicitations pursuant to a Certificate of Registration issued under

this Article.

(((2))) (3) Within ten feet from the outer edge of any entrance to any building, including, but not limited to, doors, driveways, emergency exits measured in each direction parallel to the building line and then at a 90-degree angle to the curb.

(((3))) (4) Within 18 inches of the curb line of a sidewalk or more than 4-1/2 feet from the curb line of a sidewalk((, or in the alternative, within 18 inches of abutting property line or more than 4-1/2 feet from the abutting property line, provided in the latter case that the solicitor's activities may not be conducted within 12 inches of a window of any building, measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90-degree angle from the building to a point 4-1/2 feet from the abutting property line, and further provided that in the latter case the solicitor's activities may not be conducted where those activities will obstruct the name, address, or other merchant or commercial message appearing on any building.))

(((4))) (5) In a space more than four feet long or five feet high. The height of the person or persons conducting the sales solicitation shall not be considered in determining compliance with this subsection.

(((5))) (6) On ((the street side)) any sidewalk adjacent to a curb that has been designated pursuant to ordinance or regulation as a bus zone or a white, blue, green, or yellow zone.

(((6))) (7) If, due to such solicitation for sales on a public sidewalk, there remains open for the passage of pedestrians a space of less than ((six)) eight feet in width, as measured on a line perpendicular to the curb line, from the edge of the solicitor's activities to the edge of the sidewalk or the edge of the building farthest from the edge of those activities. No portion

of the area occupied by the solicitor for its activities shall be included in measuring the ((six)) eight foot space. If there are located on a particular side of a block two or more spaces that meet all other time, place and manner restrictions set out in this Section and leave available for pedestrian passage a space more than ((six)) eight feet in width, the solicitor shall conduct his or her sales solicitation in that space that leaves available for pedestrian travel the maximum amount of sidewalk width.

(8) In any of the following areas:

(a) In any "P" district, as defined in Section 234 of the City Planning Code (Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code), located on Twin Peaks.

(b) On the sidewalk on the north side of Jefferson Street between Jones and Taylor.

(i) No ((person)) individual shall directly or indirectly solicit contributions for any purpose by misrepresentation of his or her name, occupation, financial condition, exemption status, or residence, and no person shall make or perpetrate any other misstatement, deception, or fraud in connection with any solicitation of any contribution for any purpose in the City and County of San Francisco or in any Statement of Registration or report filed under this Article.

(j) No ((person)) individual shall engage in sales solicitations for charitable purposes by means of selling clothing, jewelry, or any other goods, products, services or merchandise in any area of the City and County of San Francisco unless that person obtains the appropriate peddling permit pursuant to Articles 13, 17.3 or 24 of the San Francisco Police Code. This Section shall not apply to the sale of books, pamphlets, buttons, bumperstickers, posters or any other type of item that has no intrinsic value or

purpose other than to communicate a message.

(k) Every charitable organization whose employee or agent violates any provision of this Article also shall be deemed to have violated such provision.

(l) Every commercial fundraiser whose employee or agent violates any provision of this Article also shall be deemed to have violated such provision.

(m) Sales solicitations for charitable purposes occurring on property under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Parks Department shall be regulated by the San Francisco Park Code and written rules and regulations promulgated by the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commission.

SEC. 660.3. STATEMENT OF REGISTRATION. All charitable organizations desiring to solicit funds for charitable purposes in the City and County of San Francisco shall file with the Chief of Police or his or her designate a written Statement of Registration, which Statement shall contain complete information as follows:

(a) The name of the charitable organization registering to solicit funds for charitable purposes.

(b) The purpose of the solicitation and use of the contribution to be solicited.

(c) Whether the charitable organization registering is an individual ((natural person)), partnership, corporation or association, and

(1) If a natural person, the person's business or residence address and telephone number.

(2) If a partnership, the name of all the partners and principal business address and telephone number of each partner.

(3) If a corporation, whether it is organized under the laws of California or is a foreign corporation, the mailing address,

business location, and telephone number, name of the individual in charge of the San Francisco office of the corporation, and the names of all officers and directors or trustees of said corporation, and if a foreign corporation, the place of incorporation.

(4) If an association, the association's principal business address and telephone number, if any, and the names and principal business or residence addresses and telephone numbers of the officers and directors or trustees of the association. If the association is part of a multi-state organization or association, the mailing address and business location of its central office and the mailing address and business location of its local office.

(d) A description of the methods and means by which the solicitation of funds is to be accomplished, including whether solicitations will be accomplished by the sale of any item.

(e) A financial statement, on a form provided by the San Francisco Police Department, setting forth all of the following information:

(1) the total revenue, contributions and similar amounts solicited or generated by sales activities by such charitable organization or association or their agents or commercial fundraisers within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration;

(2) the gross total sales by such charitable organization or association within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration;

(3) the total amount of expenditures by such charitable organization or association within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing

of such Statement of Registration;

(4) the total cost of goods sold by or on behalf of such charitable organization or association within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration;

(5) the names and business addresses of all persons or associations, including commercial fundraisers, receiving compensation or emoluments from such revenue, contributions and similar amounts solicited or generated by sales activities in the City and County of San Francisco, and the respective amounts thereof.

(6) A detailed accounting of the allocations made to achieve the purposes of the charitable organization within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration.

((A financial statement of all revenues and contributions collected by or received by such charitable organization or association within the City and County of San Francisco within the 180 day period immediately preceding the filing of such Statement of Registration, the expenditures or use made of such contributions, together with the names and addresses of all persons or associations receiving salaries, wages, compensation, commissions or emoluments from such contributions, and the respective amounts thereof. A charitable organization may comply with the requirements of this Subsection by submitting every 180 days a completed Federal Tax Form 990.))

(f) If the charitable organization is a corporation, partnership, or association, a copy of the resolution or other document issued in compliance with the bylaws or internal rules of any organization authorizing such solicitation and authorizing a

particular individual to apply for the Certificate of Registration certified to as a true and correct copy of the original of such resolution by the officer of such association having charge of the records thereof.

(g) A statement that the signers of such Statement of Registration have read and are familiar with the provisions of this Article and will require all persons authorized to engage in such solicitation to read and be familiar with all sections of this Article prior to making any such solicitation.

(h) A projected schedule for the next 180 day period of salaries, fees commissions, expenses and costs to be expended and paid in connection with the solicitation of funds or in connection with their disbursement, an estimated percentage of the total projected collections which the costs of solicitation will comprise and what portion of the contributions collected as a result of the solicitation will remain available for application to the specific purposes declared in the Statement of Registration.

(i) The names, business mailing addresses and telephone numbers of all individuals who will be in direct charge or control of the solicitation and disbursement of funds, and the name of any commercial fundraiser employed or utilized by the charitable organization during the immediately preceding reporting period.

(j) A statement to the effect that if a Certificate of Registration is granted, such Certificate will not be used as or represented to be an endorsement by the City or any of its officers or employees.

(k) The names of any officer, director, trustee, partner, or any current agent or employee engaging in the solicitation of funds who has been convicted of any felony within the past seven years, the nature of the offense, the state where the conviction occurred,

and the year of the conviction.

(l) Whether the applicant has received tax exempt status from either the State of California or the United States, or both, and a copy of its current tax exempt determination letter, if any.

(m) If the charitable organization is a corporation, partnership, or association, the Statement of Registration must be signed by the person authorized to apply for the Certificate of Registration by the resolution required in Section 660.3(g) and, under penalty of perjury, declared to contain true and correct information. If the charitable organization is an individual, the Statement of Registration must be signed by that individual and, under penalty of perjury, declared to contain true and correct information.

(n) The name of an agent of the organization for service of process.

SEC. 660.4. ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION.

(a) Upon the filing by a charitable organization of a Statement of Registration and the payment of the fee required by Section 660.7, including any fine or penalty assessed against the organization for violation of this Article that has been outstanding for more than 60 days, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall issue to the organization a receipt that indicates that the organization has filed a Statement of Registration and authorizes the organization to solicit contributions for charitable purposes pending the issuance of the Certificate of Registration.

(b) The Chief of Police or his or her designate shall, after a review of the Statement of Registration to determine its compliance with Section 660.3 above, and within 10 working days of the receipt of the Statement of Registration, either issue a Certificate of Registration or notify the person registering that

the Statement of Registration does not comply with the requirements of Section 660.3 above and specifying what information has not been furnished. Upon receipt of that additional information, the Chief of Police or his or her designate shall, within five working days of the receipt of the revised Statement, issue a Certificate of Registration. Any charitable organization that has received a receipt authorizing the organization to solicit contributions in the City and County of San Francisco and that fails to provide the additional information sought by the Chief shall be in violation of this Article. Certificates of Registration shall be valid for 180 days after issuance.

(c) Any charitable organization that has obtained a Certificate of Registration prior to the most recent amendment of this Article shall obtain a Certificate or Registration under the amended provisions of this Article within 30 days of the effective date of the such amended provisions ((need not file a Statement of Registration under this amended Article until the expiration date specified on that Certificate of Registration.))

SEC. 660.4-1. Annual Audits.

(a) Each calendar year, the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall audit for accuracy and completeness three randomly selected financial statements submitted by charitable organizations under Section 660.3(e) of this code, provided that charitable organizations whose financial statements indicate that the organization received less than $1,000 in contributions from solicitations and sales solicitations in the immediately preceding reporting period shall not be subject to such audits. The Controller periodically shall prepare reports which shall be sent to the San Francisco District Attorney and California Attorney General. The reports of the Controller shall be public documents

and shall contain in the Controller's findings with respect to the accuracy and completeness of each statement reviewed. The audits should be conducted with maximum efficiency in a cost effective manner and should be as unobtrusive as possible consistent with the foregoing purposes of the audit. No employee or agent of the Controller shall divulge or make known any record or information received pursuant to this section except in furtherance of the purposes of this section.

(b) It shall be the duty of each charitable organization to keep such detailed accounts and records as shall be reasonably necessary for the Controller to conduct the audits required by this section.

SEC. 660.4-2. REGISTRATION OF COMMERCIAL FUNDRAISERS. Prior to soliciting contributions on behalf of a charitable organization, a commercial fundraiser shall register with the Department by filing with the Department a copy of the commercial fundraiser's most recent registration form and annual financial report filed with the California Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts pursuant to California Government Code Sections 12599(b) and 12599(c).

SEC. 660.14. PENALTIES. (a) Any person violating any provision of this Article shall be guilty of a misdemeanor or an infraction. The complaint charging such violation shall specify whether the violation is a misdemeanor or infraction, which decision shall be that of the District Attorney. If charged as an infraction, upon conviction, the violator shall be punished by a fine of not less that ((~~$75~~)) $125 or more than ((~~$100~~)) $250 for each provision violated. If charged as a misdemeanor, upon conviction, the violator shall be punished by a fine of not less than $500 or more than $600 for each provision violated or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six

months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. In any accusatory pleading charging a violation of this Article, if the defendant has been previously convicted of a violation of this Article, each such previous violation and conviction shall be charged in the accusatory pleading. Any person violating any provision of this Article a second time within a thirty day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than $650 and not more than $750 for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six month, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any person violating any provision of this Article a third time, and each subsequent time, within a thirty day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than $750 and not more than $1000 for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(b) Any person who violates or proposes to violate any of the provisions of this Article may be enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction. The court may make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any charitable organization or person of any practices which violate this Article, or which may be necessary to restore to any person any money or property, real or personal, which may have been required by means of any practice in this Article declared to be unlawful.

Actions for injunction under this Section may be prosecuted by the Attorney General, the District Attorney, or City Attorney upon their own complaint or upon the complaint of any board, officer, person or by any person or charitable organization acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general

public. In its discretion, the court may allow the ((prevailing)) party bringing the action reasonable costs, including attorney's fees.

(c) Any person who intentionally violates any injunction issued pursuant to this Section shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed $6,000 for each violation. Where the conduct constituting a violation is of a continuing nature, each day of such conduct is a separate and distinct violation. In determining the amount of the civil penalty, the court shall consider all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to, the extent of harm caused by the conduct constituting a violation, the nature and persistence of such conduct, the length of time over which the conduct occurred, the assets, liabilities and net worth of the person, whether corporate or individual, and any corrective action taken by the defendant.

(d) If any action for violation of Subsection (c) is brought by the Attorney General, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and one-half to the State Treasurer for deposit in the General Fund. If brought by the District Attorney, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in a special fund of the District Attorney to be created for the deposit and expenditure of such funds, and one-half shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. If brought by the City Attorney, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in a special fund of the City Attorney to be created for the deposit and expenditure of such funds, and one-half shall be paid to

the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. If brought by a private party, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to such the party and one-half shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. ((If brought by the District Attorney, the City Attorney or a private person, the entire amount of the penalty collected shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.))

(e) Any person who violates any provision of this Article shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed $2,500 for each violation, which shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and County of San Francisco by the Attorney General, the District Attorney, or the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction, upon their own complaint or upon the complaint of any board, officer, person or by any person or charitable organization acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public. In its discretion, the court may allow the ((prevailing)) party bringing the action reasonable costs, including attorney's fees.

(f) If any action for violation of Subsection (e) is brought by the Attorney General, one-half of the penalty collected shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund and one-half to the State Treasurer. If brought by the District Attorney, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in a special fund of the District Attorney to be created for the deposit and expenditure of such funds, and one-half shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. If brought by the City Attorney, one-half of the penalty collected

pursuant to this Section shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in a special fund of the City Attorney to be created for the deposit and expenditure of such funds, and one-half shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. If brought by a private party, one-half of the penalty collected pursuant to this Section shall be paid to such the party and one-half shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for deposit in the General Fund. ((If brought by the District Attorney, the City Attorney or a private person, the entire amount of the penalty collected shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.))

(g) Any person who intentionally or negligently violates any of the reporting requirements of this Article shall be liable in a civil action brought by any person otherwise authorized to file an action under this Article for an amount of three times the amount not properly reported if the violation was intentional or the amount not properly reported if the violation was negligent.

(h) The remedies provided for in this section are cumulative to each other and to the remedies or penalties available under all other laws of the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California.

(i) If two or more persons are responsible for any violation of this Article, they shall be jointly and severably liable.

660.14-1. FORFEITURE.

(a) Any item of merchandise sold or offered for sale in violation of Section 660.2(i) of this article which is in the possession of the City and County of San Francisco is subject to forfeiture pursuant to this section, provided that so long as the injunction imposed by the United States Court of Appeals for the

Ninth Circuit in case number 88-1904 remains in effect, this section shall not apply to goods the sale of which is inextricably intertwined with a statement carrying a religious, political, philosophical or ideological message..

(b) An action to forfeit matter described in subdivision (a) may be brought by the district attorney or the city attorney. Proceedings shall be initiated by a petition of forfeiture filed in the superior court.

(c) The prosecuting agency shall make service of process of a notice regarding that petition upon every individual who may have a property interest in the property, which notice shall state that any interested party may file a verified claim with the superior court stating the amount of their claimed interest and an affirmation or denial of the prosecuting agency's allegation. If the notices cannot be given by registered mail or personal delivery, the notice shall be published for at least three successive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the property is located. All notices shall set forth the time within which a claim of interest in the goods seized is required to be filed.

(d)(1) Any person claiming an interest in the property or proceeds may, at any time within 30 days from the date of the first publication of the notice of seizure, or within 30 days after receipt of actual notice, file with the superior court a verified claim stating his or her interest in the property. A verified copy of the claim shall be given by the claimant to the district attorney or city attorney, as appropriate.

(2) If, at the end of the time set forth in paragraph (1), an interested person has not filed a claim, the court, upon motion, shall declare that the person has defaulted upon his or her alleged interest, and it shall be subject to forfeiture upon proof of

compliance with subdivision (c). All goods forfeited under this section shall be donated to charitable organizations having tax exempt status under Section 501(c)(3) of the United States Internal Revenue Code for the purpose of having those goods distributed to those in need free of charge.

(e) The burden shall be on the petitioner to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that matter is subject to forfeiture pursuant to this section.

(f) It shall not be necessary to seek or obtain a criminal conviction prior to the entry of an order for the forfeiture of property pursuant to this section. Any property described in subdivision (a) which is in the possession of the City and County obtained as the result of a case in which no trial was had or which has been disposed of by way of dismissal or otherwise than by way of conviction may be ordered forfeited.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 23, 1990	July 30, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Ward	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 121-90-3

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

File No. 90-90-2 Ordinance No. 290-90

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING THE RICHARD E. QUEEN HOUSE AT 2212 SACRAMENTO STREET AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Richard E. Queen House located at 2212 Sacramento Street, Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 627, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Richard E. Queen House is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been fully approved by Resolution No. 11937 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-90-2 and is incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

(b) Required Data: The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site, of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation, and of the particular features that should be preserved are described in the Case Report, which Case Report is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-90-2 and which description is incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth. The exterior of this Landmark shall be preserved generally in all of the particular exterior features as existing on the date hereof and described and depicted in the photographs and Case Report on file in the Department of City Planning Docket No. 89.587L. This Landmark contains significant interior features as described in Appendix D to the Case Report which remain intact and which the current owners wish to preserve. The owner(s) may consult with the

///

Landmarks Board regarding alterations to the interior in order to solicit information on how to preserve the significant interior features.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 23, 1990	§	July 30, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
90-90-2

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-90-30 ORDINANCE NO. 291-90

[Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Fee]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 37A.1, 37A.2, 37A.6, 37A.8, 37A.12 AND 49.2 TO REDUCE THE RESIDENTIAL UNIT FEE FROM $8.00 TO $4.00, TO EXEMPT CERTAIN OWNER-OCCUPIED DWELLING UNITS AND CERTAIN OWNER-OCCUPIED BUILDINGS ACCORDING TO PROOF, TO ESTABLISH TWO SEPARATE METHODS FOR A LANDLORD'S RECOVERY OF THE FEE FROM A TENANT, ONE OF WHICH IS A DIRECT DEDUCTION FROM A TENANT'S SECURITY DEPOSIT, TO DECREASE THE NON-PAYMENT DELINQUENCY PERIOD FROM NINETY (90) TO SIXTY (60) DAYS, TO EXPAND THE PENALTY AND INTEREST PERIOD, AND TO DELETE THE TERMINATION CLAUSE.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 37A.1, 37A.2, 37A.6, 37A.8, 37A.12 and 49.2 to read as follows:

Sec. 37A.1. Scope. This chapter is applicable to all residential units in the City and County of San Francisco. For purposes of this chapter, "residential units" are dwelling units and guest rooms as those terms are defined in Sections 203.4 and 203.7 of the San Francisco Housing Code. The term shall not include:

(a) guest rooms exempted or excluded from regulation under Chapter 41 of this code;

(b) dwelling units in non-profit cooperatives owned, occupied and controlled by a majority of the residents;

(c) housing accommodations in any hospital, convent, monastery, extended care facility, asylum, non-profit home for the aged, or in dormitories owned and operated by an institution of higher education, a high school, or an elementary school;

(d) dwelling units whose rents are controlled or regulated by any government unit, agency or authority, excepting those units which are subject to the jurisdiction of the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board;

(e) any dwelling unit for which the owner has on file with the Assessor a current homeowner's exemption;

(f) any dwelling unit which is occupied by an owner of record on either a full-time or part-time basis and which is not rented at any time, provided that the owner file with the Tax Collector an affidavit so stating;

(((f))) (g) owner-occupied buildings containing four (4) dwelling units or less wherein the owner has on file with the Assessor a current homeowner's exemption((;)) or wherein the owner files with the Tax Collector an affidavit that states owner has resided in the building for a minimum of six (6) months prior to November 1;

(((g))) (h) dwelling units located in a structure for which a certificate of final completion and occupancy was first issued by the Bureau of Building Inspection after June 13, 1979;

(((h))) (i) dwelling units in a building which, after June 13, 1979, has undergone substantial rehabilitation as that term is defined in Chapter 37 of this code.

Sec. 37A.2. Findings. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds:

(a) In Section 37.1 of this Code, the Board of Supervisors found that there was a shortage of decent, safe and sanitary housing in the City and County of San Francisco resulting in a critically

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
SAN FRANCISCO

low vacancy factor. The Board further found that rent regulation was necessary in order to alleviate the ill effects of the City's housing shortage to meet the need for affordable housing, and to advance the City's housing policies. The Board now hereby finds that this housing shortage still persists and the rent regulation continues to be a necessary and effective means of mitigating this condition.

(b) By Ordinance No. 276-79, adopted June 12, 1979, the Board of Supervisors enacted the Residential Rent and Arbitration Ordinance ("Rent Ordinance," Chapter 37, San Francisco Administrative Code) to regulate residential rents in San Francisco. The Ordinance created the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board ("Rent Board," "Sections 37.1(a), (b) and 37.4) to administer and enforce the Rent Ordinance and thereby safeguard tenants from excessive increases while at the same time assure landlords fair and adequate rents. The Rent Board benefits both landlords and tenants by providing for the orderly and efficient administration of the Rent Ordinance and by protecting tenants from unreasonable rent increases and displacement while assuring that landlords receive fair rents consistent with the Ordinance.

(c) It is fair and reasonable that the costs of administering and enforcing the Rent Ordinance through the Rent Board should be equitably distributed among the City's residential units.

Therefore, the Board finds that the owner of each residential unit as defined in Section 37A.1 above shall be required to pay an annual Rent Stabilization and Arbitration fee for each unit.

(d) The fee for each residential unit shall equal the projected annual cost of funding the Rent Board plus related administrative costs pursuant to Section 10.194 of this Code including, but not limited to, the Tax Collector and Controller

divided by the total number of residential units estimated to pay the fee minus any balance remaining in the fund set forth in Section 10.117-88 of this Code; provided, however, that in calculating the fee, the Controller shall round up any fraction of a dollar to the next whole dollar; provided further, however, that the fee shall in no event exceed ((~~$8.00~~)) $4.00 per residential unit. For the purposes of this calculation, a guest room shall be counted as one-half of a residential unit and shall be charged half the fee. The Assessor and the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall release to the Information Services Division (IDS) of the Controller's Office by June 1st information necessary for compilation of the billing list. The Controller shall compile the list, determine the total number of residential units and calculate the fee by July 31st. The fee shall be recalculated on July 31st each year.

7/25/90

(e) The fee herein is for regulatory purposes only. It is not designed or intended for revenue purposes. Any surplus collected in a given year will reduce the fee in the next fiscal year.

Sec. 37A.6. Recovery of the Fee. The owner may seek recovery of the fee from the tenant of each residential unit who is in occupancy on November 1((. In order to be entitled to recovery, the owner must submit a copy of the Tax Collector's bill to the tenant with a written request for payment within sixty (60) days of the date of the request. Upon such request, the tenant has a duty to remit the fee payment to the owner. If the tenant fails to remit the requested sum within sixty (60) days, the owner may deduct the fee from the next interest payment owed on the tenant's security

/ / /

deposit pursuant to Chapter 49 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.)) using one of the following methods:

(a) The owner may deduct the fee from the next interest payment owed on the tenant's security deposit pursuant to Chapter 49 of this code. The owner shall give written notice of the deduction and its purpose at the time the interest payment is due; or

(b) The owner may bill the tenant directly for the fee. The bill shall state the amount for that unit, that the purpose of the fee is to fund the Rent board and related administrative costs under Chapter 37A of the San Francisco Administrative Code, and that the fee is due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of the bill.

The owner remains liable for payment of the fee to the Tax Collector whether or not the owner seeks recovery under one of the above methods or in fact does recover from the tenant.

Sec. 37A.8. Nonpayment; Additional Request.

(a) If the full payment required in Sec. 37A.4 above is not received within ((ninety (90))) sixty (60) days of the date of the bill, the bill shall be considered delinquent and an additional request for payment shall be sent to the owner.

(b) ((The)) Said written request shall advise the recipient that if the payment is not received within thirty (30) days of the mailing of this notice, a 25% penalty will be added, plus an interest charge of 1.5% monthly after the account has been considered delinquent and that the Board of Supervisors, in a noticed public hearing, will add ((a 25% penalty to the fee, an interest charge of 1.5% monthly after the account has been delinquent for sixty (60) days, and)) a charge for the Tax Collector of $49((,)) and ((that)) record a lien for the entire unpaid

balance, including penalty on the payment with interest accruing on the entire unpaid balance, ((will be recorded)) against the owner's real property. The charge for the Tax Collector consists of forty dollars ($40) for processing a delinquent fee plus nine dollars ($9) for releasing the tax lien in accordance with Section 10.237 of this code.

Sec. 37.A.12. ((Termination. This chapter shall terminate on June 30, 1990.)) Reserved.

Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 49.2 to read as follows:

Sec. 49.2. Payment of interest on security deposits.

(a) A landlord who is subject to the provisions of Section 1950.5 of the California Civil Code shall pay at least 5% simple interest per year on all security deposits held for at least one year for his/her tenants; provided, however, that this requirement shall not apply where the rent is assisted or subsidized by any government unit, agency or authority.

(b) Interest shall begin accruing on September 1, 1983, or on whatever date the security deposit is received by the landlord after September 1, 1983. Beginning on September 1, 1984, or on any date thereafter upon which the security deposit has been held by the landlord for one year, a tenant shall be given the unpaid accrued interest in the form of either a direct payment or a credit against the tenant's rent. The landlord shall choose between these two methods of payment.

/ / /

/ / /

(c) The landlord may elect to pay the accrued interest as provided in subsection (b) above on a monthly basis, but in no event less than once a year.

(d) Upon termination of tenancy, a tenant whose security deposit has been held for one year or more shall be entitled to a direct payment of any unpaid accrued interest no later than two weeks after the tenant has vacated the premises; provided, however, that a landlord may retain any portion of the unpaid accrued interest, subject to the limitations and requirements set forth in Section 1950.5(e) of the California Civil Code, where the security deposit alone is insufficient to remedy tenant default in the payment of rent, to repair damages to the premises caused by the tenant, exclusive of ordinary wear and tear, or to clean such premises, if necessary, upon termination of the tenancy.

(e) Nothing in this chapter shall preclude a landlord from exercising his or her discretion in investing security deposits.

(f) Notwithstanding the provisions of (a) through (d) above, where a ((tenant fails to reimburse a)) landlord seeks reimbursement for the annual Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration fee as provided in Sec. 37A.6 of this code, the landlord may deduct said fee from the next interest payment owed to the tenant pursuant to this chapter.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 25, 1990	July 30, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Nelder Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher	Noes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher
	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-90-30

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-90-35 ORDINANCE NO. 292-90

(License of Municipal Railway Transit Software)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 1.37 THERETO, AUTHORIZING THE LICENSE AND CUSTOMIZATION OF MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRANSIT SOFTWARE.

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 1 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 1.37, thereto, to read as follows:

Section 1.37. License of Municipal Railway Transit Software.

The General Manager of the Municipal Railway is hereby authorized to license or enter into agreements to license computer software which the Municipal Railway creates for transit related purposes and to customize said software for licensees. The monies generated from said licensing shall be deposited in the Municipal Railway Software Fund as specified in Section 10.117-99 of the Administrative Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Angela C. Karikas
Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS J. [illegible]
General Manager
Public Utilities Commission

"1449"

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 23, 1990	July 30, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Hongisto	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-35

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 7/18/90

FILE NO. 97-90-36

ORDINANCE NO. 293-90

(Municipal Railway Software Fund)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-99 THERETO, CREATING A MUNICIPAL RAILWAY SOFTWARE FUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECEIVING AND EXPENDING MONIES GENERATED FROM THE LICENSING AND CUSTOMIZATION OF TRANSIT RELATED SOFTWARE.

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-99 thereto, to read as follows:

Section 10.117-99. Municipal Railway Software Fund.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby created a special fund to be known as the Municipal Railway Software Fund for the purpose of receiving all monies generated from the licensing and customization of transit related software created by the Municipal Railway pursuant to Section 1.37 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

(b) Authorization to Expend. Upon approval of the General Manager of the Municipal Railway, the monies in this fund may be used for the following purposes:

1) The payment of any expenses related to the marketing or licensing of transit related software.

2) The payment of any expenses related to the installation and customization of transit related software on the Licensee's premises.

3) The payment of maintenance expenses for the computers used to develop transit related software.

4) The purchase of additional hardware and software for the computers used to develop transit related software

7/18/90 3

7/18/90 e

7/18/90 e

5) The reimbursement of the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco for personnel costs related to the marketing, licensing, installation and customization of transit related software.

(c) Appropriation of Monies. The monies in the Fund are hereby appropriated exclusively for the purposes set forth in subsection (b) of the section. Commencing on July 1, 1991 all expenditures made from the fund shall conform to the provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriations ordinance or supplemental appropriation ordinance(s).

(d) Interest. All interest earned from the Fund shall accrue to the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco.

(e) Accrual of Monies In Fund. Any unexpended balances remaining in the Municipal Railway Software Fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of §6.306 of the Charter and shall be carried forward and accumulated in the fund for the purposes recited herein.

(See File 97-90-36 for departmental approval.)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Angela Karakas
Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS J. ELZEY
General Manager
Public Utilities Commission

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 23, 1990	July 30, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward Hongisto	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-90-36

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 121-90-4

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
Presented in Committee
July 17, 1990.

ORDINANCE NO. 294-90

[Sidewalk Peddlers]

AMENDING ARTICLE 13 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 869.3 THEREOF, RELATING TO PEDDLING ON THE PUBLIC SIDEWALKS.

Note: Additions are underlined. Deletions are indicated ((double parentheses.))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco

Section 1. Article 13 of the San Francisco Police Code is amended to read as follows:

SEC. 869.3. LOCAL RESTRICTIONS. The Chief of Police may issue a peddler permit only when he finds that the following location and time requirements are met:

(a) The location shall:

(1) Leave unobstructed for pedestrian passage on any sidewalk a space not less than 10 feet wide.

(2) Not occupy a space extending more than 4-1/2 feet from the curb line of any sidewalk, nor wider than four feet nor extending more than five feet above the sidewalk.

(3) Not be within 18 inches of the curb line of any sidewalk

(4) Not be closer than 7-1/2 feet from sprinkler inlets, and wet and dry standpipe inlets, measured from the outer edge of the standpipe bank from the building line to the sidewalk edge.

(5) Not be within 12 feet of the outer edge of any entrance way to any building or facility used by the public including but not limited to doors, driveways and emergency exits measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90 degree angle to the curb.

(6) Not be on any sidewalk adjacent to a curb which has been duly designated pursuant to local ordinance or regulation as a white, yellow, blue, or red zone, or a bus zone.

(7) Not be within five feet of any crosswalk or fire hydrant.

(8) Leave unobstructed fire escapes, underneath and perpendicular from the building to the street, five feet from both ends of the fire escape.

(9) Not be within five feet of inflammable liquid vents and fill pipes when tanks are not being filled nor within 25 feet while tanks are being filled.

(b) No peddler shall peddle goods, wares or merchandise between the hours of 12:00 a.m. (midnight) and 6:00 a.m. the following day.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Code, ((N)) no peddler or pushcart peddler, as defined in Section 1330 of the San Francisco Police Code, shall peddle goods, wares or merchandise:

(1) In ((in)) any "P" district, as defined in Section 234 of the City Planning Code (Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code), located on Twin Peaks or in any areas in or adjacent to Open Space Districts located on Twin Peaks. ((This prohibition shall not apply to duly licensed produce peddlers who have been granted permits to sell within this area by the City and County of San Francisco or any of its authorized licensing agencies, commissions or government subentities.))

(2) On the north side of Jefferson Street between Jones and Taylor.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISOR WALKER, ALIOTO, HONGISTO AND NELDER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 23, 1990	§	July 30, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
121-90-4

AUG 1 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

As amended in Board 7/25/90

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/27/90

FILE NO. 127-90-5

ORDINANCE NO. 295-90

(Business/Payroll Expense Tax Changes)

AMENDING ARTICLE 12-B OF PART III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUSINESS TAX ORDINANCE) BY AMENDING SECTION 1007 THEREOF TO REDUCE THE ANNUAL BUSINESS TAX REGISTRATION FEE FROM $200 to ~~$150~~ $75, TO ELIMINATE THE CREDIT AGAINST BUSINESS OR PAYROLL EXPENSE TAXES FOR BUSINESS TAX REGISTRATION FEES PAID, AND BY ADDING SECTION 1004.17 THERETO TO CODIFY THE REVERSION OF TAX RATES TO THE PRE-JULY 1, 1988 LEVELS; AND AMENDING ARTICLE 12-A OF PART III OF SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (PAYROLL EXPENSE TAX ORDINANCE) BY ADDING SECTION 903.1 THERETO TO CODIFY REVERSION OF TAX RATES TO THE PRE-JULY 1, 1988 LEVELS; OPERATIVE DATE.

ejp 7/25/90

NOTE: Additional material is indicated by underlining; deletions are indicated by ((double parenthesis)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 12-B of Part III (Miscellaneous) of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Business Tax Ordinance) is hereby amended by amending Section 1007 thereof, and by adding Section 1004.17 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 1007. REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE.

(a) Every person engaging or about to engage in any business upon which a tax is imposed by the provisions of this ordinance shall immediately register with the Tax Collector on a form provided therefor. Persons engaged in such business must so register not later than 15 days after the date which tax becomes effective and is imposed as set forth in Section 1003(a) hereof, but such privilege or registration after the date of imposition of such tax shall not relieve any person from the obligation of

/ / /

payment of tax on and after the date of imposition thereof, regardless of registration. Such registration shall set forth the name under which such person transacts or intends to transact business, the location of the registrant's place or places of business and such other information to facilitate the collection of the tax as the Tax Collector may require. The registration shall be signed by the owner if a natural person; in case of an association or partnership, by a member or partner; in case of a corporation, by a person authorized by the corporation to sign the registration.

(b) The Tax Collector shall within ten days of such registration determine whether the registrant has paid all outstanding business, payroll or other unsecured taxes or license fees to the City and County. If the Tax Collector determines that the registrant complies with this requirement, the Tax Collector shall issue, upon payment of a ((~~$200~~)) ~~$150~~ $75 registration fee, a certificate of authority to each registrant together with a duplicate thereof for each additional place of business of such registrant. The certificate shall be valid for one year and shall be renewed by the Tax Collector upon determination that the registrant has paid the preceding year's tax obligations.

ejp 7/25

If there is a dispute regarding outstanding business, payroll or other unsecured taxes or license fees allegedly owed by the registrant to the City and County that is pending in administrative proceedings before the Tax Collector, then the registrant shall not be denied the issuance of a registration certificate by the Tax Collector due to the dispute while the dispute is pending in such administrative proceedings.

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

(c) Each certificate and duplicate shall state the place of business to which it is applicable and shall be prominently displayed therein. Such certificate shall be nonassignable and non-transferable and shall be surrendered immediately to the Tax Collector upon the cessation of business at the location named or upon its sale or transfer.

(((d) For each year that a registrant is subject to tax liability pursuant to this article or Article 12-A, the taxpayer may take the registration fee as a credit against the tax due that year on the form provided by the Tax Collector.))

(e) If there are any taxes, license fees or other fees due and unpaid at the time of receipt of the registration form, the Tax Collector shall so inform the registrant in writing within ten business days of the receipt of said registration form setting forth the amount owned, the tax, license or fee for which the amount is owed, the dates incurred and any other information the Tax Collector deems necessary fully to apprise the taxpayer of what taxes, licenses or other fees are owed.

SEC. 1004.17. RATES OF TAX AFTER JUNE 30, 1990.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, commencing July 1, 1990, the rates of gross receipts tax under Sections 1004.01, 1004.02, 1004.03, 1004.04, 1004.06, 1004.07, 1004.08, 1004.09, 1004.10, 1004.11, 1004.13, 1004.15 and 1004.16 shall be as follows:

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

Sec. No.	Business	Tax on First $10,000 of Gross Receipts or Fractional Part Thereof	Tax on Each Additional $1000 of Gross Receipts or Fractional Part Thereof
1004.01	COMMISSION MERCHANT OR BROKER	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.02	CONTRACTOR	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.03	HOTEL, APARTMENT	$ 15.00	$ 1.50
1004.04	LAUNDRY, ETC.	$ 15.00	$ 1.50
1004.06	PERSONAL PROPERTY RENTAL	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.07	OTHER BUSINESSES	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.08	RETAIL SALES	$ 15.00	$ 1.50
1004.09	STORAGE, ETC.	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.10	TELEPHONES, ETC.	$ 12.00	$ 1.23
1004.11	TRANSPORTING PERSONS	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.13	WHOLESALE SALES	$ 12.00	$ 1.23
1004.15	ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS	$ 30.00	$ 3.00
1004.16	NON-PROFIT GARAGE	$2,500.00	$250.00

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, for calendar year 1990 and for each calendar year thereafter, the tax under section 1004 (FIXED PLACE OF BUSINESS) shall be $24.00 per year.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, for calendar year 1990 and for each calendar year thereafter, the tax under Section 1004.05 (LENDING MONEY) shall be $800 per year.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, commencing July 1, 1990, the rates of tax under Section 1004.12 (TRUCKING-HAULING) shall be as follows:

/ / /

/ / /

For each motor vehicle (other than a tractor, trailer, semitrailer or dolly) used to receive or discharge, pick up or deliver property within this City and County (1) if the unladen weight thereof is 4000 pounds or less, the tax shall be $0.07 for each day or fraction thereof of its operation as specified in Section 1004.12(b); (2) if the unladen weight thereof is over 4000 pounds, and not more than 8,000 pounds, the tax shall be $0.15 for each day or fraction thereof of its operation as specified in Section 1004.12(b); and (3) if the unladen weight thereof is over 8,000 pounds, the tax shall be 0.16 for each day or fraction thereof of its operation as specified in Section 1004.12(b).

For each tractor which is used to haul one or more trailers or semitrailers, the tax shall be $0.16 for each day or fraction thereof of its operation as specified in Section 1004.12(b).

Section 2. Article 12-A of Part III of the Municipal Code (Payroll Expense Tax Ordinance) is hereby amended by adding Section 903.1 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 903.1 RATES OF TAX AFTER JUNE 30, 1990.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, commencing July 1, 1990, the amount of such tax for persons other than Associations shall be one and one-half (1-1/2%) percent of the payroll expense of such person, and the amount of such tax for Associations shall be one and one-half (1-1/2%) percent of the payroll expense of such Association, plus one and one-half (1-1/2%) percent of the total distributions made by such Association by way of salary to those having an ownership interest in such Association.

/ / /

/ / /

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon passage, as provided in Section 2.304 of the Charter, except that the changes in fees and taxes provided for herein shall become operative on July 1, 1990, and shall not apply prior to said date.

Section 4. The Board of Supervisors intends to review the need to continue charging the fee imposed by Municipal Code Section 1007, and also to consider reducing the amount charged to be no more than the amount necessary to reimburse the City for the costs required to support the registration program imposed by Municipal Code Section 1007. In order to carry out this intent the Board of Supervisors asks that the Mayor and the Tax Collector prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors by March 1, 1991, a report that will summarize revenues received from the subject fee during fiscal year 1990-1991, estimate costs for the continued administration of the program during fiscal year 1991-1992 and the fee necessary to be charged to reimburse the City for the expenses of the program during fiscal year 1991-1992, and include recommendations regarding the continuation and/or reduction of the subject fee beyond June 30, 1991.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

BY Theodore R. Lakey
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
July 25, 1990	July 30, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Nelder Walker	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Nelder Walker Ward
Noes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher	Noes: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher
	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
127-90-5

AUG 1, 1990
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-90-38 ORDINANCE NO. 296-90

RO #9330

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARY EDITH GREENE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL, FOR $21,250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARY EDITH GREENE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., "Superior Court No. 879-624, by the payment of $21,250.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RICHARD J. EVANS, Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAMUEL YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $21,250.00

APPROVED:

RUDOLF NOTHENBERG
Chief Administrative Officer

JUL 11 1990

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
July 30, 1990	$	August 6, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward	$	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-90-38

AUG 9 1990
Date Approved

Doris M. Ward
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG 20 1990
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 82-90-7 ORDINANCE NO. 297-90

[Settlement of Litigation by Sale of Property, Grant of Easements, and Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CITY OF PACIFICA v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., BY THE SALE OF 4.774 ACRES, GRANT OF STORM DRAIN EASEMENTS OVER 3,916 SQUARE FEET, AND GRANT OF SLOPE EASEMENT OVER 11.27 ACRES IN SHARP PARK TO THE CITY OF PACIFICA FOR $36,495.65, AND ACCEPTANCE OF A QUITCLAIM DEED TO 2.668 ACRES IN SHARP PARK FROM THE CITY OF PACIFICA .

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On December 19, 1989, the City of Pacifica filed a complaint in eminent domain against the City and County of San Francisco in City of Pacifica v. City and County of San Francisco (San Mateo County Superior Court No. 347-063) to acquire City-owned property for the widening and realignment of Sharp Park Road in Sharp Park.

Section 2. In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation and Park Commission, the General Manager of the Recreation and Park Department, the City Attorney, and the Director of Property, the public interest and necessity require the settlement of the above action by the conveyance by the City and County of San Francisco to the City of Pacifica of the necessary right-of-way, storm drain easement, and slope easement as described in the letter from the Director of Property to the Board of Supervisors dated July 5, 1990, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, for $36,495.65, and the City of Pacifica's quitclaim to the City and County of San Francisco of 2.668 acres of portions of the existing Sharp Park road that will no longer be needed for roadway purposes. The City of Pacifica will revegetate and restore the unused roadway to open space, subject to the review and approval of the City and County of San Francisco Recreation and Park Department.

Section 3. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the above action on the above terms and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute a deed conveying the above property rights to the City of Pacifica, and the Director of Property is hereby authorized to accept a quitclaim deed from the City of Pacifica subject to approval by the City Attorney.

Section 4. The conveyance of the above property to the City of Pacifica and the acceptance of a quitclaim deed from the City of Pacifica will not affect the City's Master Plan.

RECOMMENDED:

Mary E. Burns
General Manager,
Recreation & Park Dept.

Pursuant to Rec-Park Commission
Resolution No. 15725

Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Andrea W. Schwartz
Deputy City Attorney

8776j

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
AUG
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
July 30, 1990	§	August 6, 1990
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Walker	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder
	§	
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Kennedy Ward	§	Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Hongisto Kennedy Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
82-90-7

AUG 9 1990
Date Approved

Steve M. Ford
ACTING Mayor

8/1/90 - As amended in Committee

FILE NO. 97-90-29 ORDINANCE NO. 296-90

(Professional Membership)

AMENDING CHAPTER XVI, ARTICLE I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 16.6-5, AND 16.6 26 THEREOF, TO AUTHORIZE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, AND DEPARTMENTS THEREUNDER, TO JOIN VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS

NOTE: Additions are underlined.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter XVI, Article I of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 16.6-5, and 16.6-26 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 16.6-5. REPRESENTATION OF CITY AND COUNTY IN CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS - HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY AND POWER.

California Urban Water Agencies.

Electric Power Research Institute.

Western Systems Power Pool.

San Francisco Committee on Corrosion.

Western Snow Conference.

American Water Works Association.

American Public Power Association.

Northern California Joint Pole Association.

California Water Resources Association.

National Safety Council.

Association of California Water Agencies.

Utilities Communication Council.

California Municipal Utilities Association.

SECTION 16.6-26. REPRESENTATION OF CITY AND COUNTY IN CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS - PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

National Association of Minority Contractors.

DOCUMENTS
SEP 11 1990
PUBLIC LIBRARY

8/1/90
jll

Bay Area Contract Compliance Officers Association.

~~Western Council of Construction Consumers.~~

California Municipal Utilities Association.

California Personnel Management Association.

The National Association of Affirmative Action Officers.

The California Association of Affirmative Action Officers.

Bay Area Personnel Association.

American Society for Training and Development.

International Personnel Management Association.

American Society for Industrial Security.

Professional Photographers of America.

Professional Photographers of Greater Bay Area.

Society of Industrial Photographers.

American Management Association.

American Concrete Institute.

American Society of Civil Engineers.

Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

Project Management Institute.

National Society of Professional Engineers.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By GEORGE E. BRUEGER
Utilities General Counsel

7448P

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 6, 1990	August 13, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Hongisto Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-90-29

AUG 2 1 1990
Date Approved

Lloyd M. Ford
ACTING Mayor

8/1/90 - As amended in Committee

FILE NO. 97-90-33 ORDINANCE NO. 299-90

(Official Memberships)

AMENDING CHAPTER 16, ARTICLE I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 16.6-9 THEREOF TO ADD SIXTEEN ~~FIFTEEN~~ ORGANIZATIONS TO THE MEMBERSHIP LIST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

8/1/90 jll

NOTE: Additions are underlined.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 16, Article I, Section 16.6-9 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 16.6-9. REPRESENTATION OF CITY AND COUNTY IN CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Air Pollution Control Association.

American Concrete Institute.

American Institute of Architects.

American Institute of Steel Construction.

American Iron and Steel Institute.

American Public Works Association.

American Society for Testing and Materials.

American Society of Civil Engineers.

American Society of Heating, Refrigeration & Air Conditioning Engineers.

American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

8/1/90 jll

Applied Technology Council

~~Association of Major City Building Officials~~

California Association of Code Enforcement Officers for Housing Inspection.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 11 1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

8/1/90 jll

California Chapter, International Conference of Building Officials.

California Water Pollution Control Association.

County Building Officials Association of California.

Certified Boiler and Elevator Inspectors.

Construction Specifications Institute.

Government Refuse Collection and Disposal Association.

Highway Research Board.

Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

Institute of Traffic Engineers.

International Association of Electrical Inspectors.

International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials.

International Conference of Building Officials.

International Shade Tree Conference.

National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials.

National Association for Information and Image Management.

National Conference of States on Building Codes and Standards Inc.

National Electrical Contractors Association.

National Fire Protection Association.

National Institute of Building Sciences. ~~Applied Technology Council~~.

National Safety Council.

Northern California Chapter, American Institute of Architects.

Pacific Southwest Regional Council, National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials.

Pre-Stress Concrete Institute.

San Francisco Committee on Corrosion.

Seismological Society of America.

Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors National Association.

Society for Fire Protection Engineers.

Structural Engineers Association of Northern California.

Water Pollution Control Federation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

_____
Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

_____
Chief Administrative Officer

8843j

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 6, 1990	August 13, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Maher Ward	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Walker
Noes: Supervisors Nelder	Noes: Supervisors Nelder
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Hongisto Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____
Clerk

File No.
97-90-33

AUG 2 1 1990
Date Approved

_____
ACTING Mayor

FILE NO. 101-89-144.1

ORDINANCE NO. 300-90

RO #90020 SA #1

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $533,708 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND VARIOUS PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET, POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1990-91.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1990-91 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-005	Narcotics Forfeiture and Asset Seizure Fund		
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 001-00	Revenue		
65-6531 (050062)	Narcotics Forfeiture	$ 533,708	
Project 010-01	Prosecution		
04-01-00	District Attorney		
01-0010 (303347)	Permanent Salaries		$ 95,442
04-0600	Retirement		15,355
04-0606	Social Security		7,302
04-0610	Health Service		1,223
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		96
	Total - District Attorney		$ 119,418
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 017-01	Narcotics Division Operating Expense		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		$ 172,750
Project 014-01	Drug Education		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		83,333
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Crime Lab)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		2,624
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Fingerprint Equipment)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		16,419
Project 005-01	Narcotics Enforcement (Dial No Recorder)		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		6,627
Project 013-01	Boedekker Park Project		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		68,786
Project 007-01	Oceanview Playground		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		49,584
Project 016-01	Boxing Program		
20-2010 (580324)	Program Project Budget		14,167
	Total - Police Department		$ 414,290
	Grand Total	$ 533,708	$ 533,708

DOCUMENTS
SEP 11 1990
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

_____
CONTROLLER

wppspgen/259p.21&22/ch1

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
August 6, 1990	August 13, 1990
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Hallinan Hsieh Maher Nelder	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Hongisto Kennedy Ward	Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Hsieh Maher Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-89-144.1

AUG 2 1 1990
Date Approved

Doris M. Ward
ACTING Mayor

3543 4

Utica/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2014